Spectrum Brands, Inc.

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire

11/19/2025, 06:45 pm

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:
☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:
☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:
☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. (NYSE: SPB; “Spectrum Brands”; "SBH" or the “Company”) is a leading global branded consumer products and home essentials company focused on driving innovation and providing exceptional customer service. Spectrum Brands, a member of the Russell 1000 Index, is a leading supplier of shaving and grooming products, personal care products, small household appliances, specialty pet supplies, household cleaning products, lawn, garden and home pest control products, and personal insect repellents. Helping to meet the needs of consumers worldwide, our Company offers a broad portfolio of market-leading, well-known and widely trusted brands. Based in Middleton, Wisconsin, SBH generated net sales from continuing operations of approximately $2.963 billion in fiscal year 2024.
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	09/30/2024	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

2963900000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

84790A105

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SPB

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No
[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply
☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark

☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

Select from:
☑ Yes, for all facilities

(1.8.2) Comment

All facility data is accounted for including owned and leased sites. Coordinates are determined by converting addresses of facilities to longitude and latitudes. [Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1-Middleton, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.10557

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.518323

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

2-Bentonville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.340168

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.208755

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

16-Redlands, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.075499

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.235699

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

28-Earth City, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.760012

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.457075

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

29-Vinita Park, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.691401

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.342615

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

30-Vinita Park, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.691401

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.340975

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

35-Blacksburg, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.19559

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.393106

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

36-Blacksburg, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.194447

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.3918

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

37-St. Louis, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.757912

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.447867

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

38-Noblesville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.09089

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.951947

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

39-Edwardsville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.796524

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.080557

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

56-Wombourne, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.524085

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.209484

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

85-Mentone, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-37.978611

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

145.1083

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

88-Penrose, New Zealand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-36.919978

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

174.820816

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

91-Manchester, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.509266

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.161468

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

119-Yokohama, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.453735

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.614063

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

120-Melle, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.201898

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.349271

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

121-Melle, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.19374

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.37335

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

129-Ceska Lipa, Czech Republic

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.684492

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.537229

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

134-Ballymount, Dublin, Ireland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.307806

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-6.350228

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

138-Barcelona, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.401398

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.197751

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

142-Warszawa (Warsaw), Poland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.213791

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.968735

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

144-Budapest, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.460247

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.132608

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

145-Ljublijana, Slovenia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.075562

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.51372

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

169-Riverview, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.843672

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.342864

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

187-Middleton, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.10557

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.518323

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

188-Elk Grove Village, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.001098

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.954863

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

189-Plano, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.064865

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.810701

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

193-Naucalpan de Juárez, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.458799

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.254013

Row 58

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

199-Miramar, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.980572

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.339095

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

229-Mechelen, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.02118

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.481808

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

232-Sulzbach, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.12965

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.520854

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

237-Alcobendas, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.515504

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.655492

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

248-Utrecht, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.125803

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.043785

Row 74

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

249-Stockholm, Sweden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.329781

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

17.984759

Row 75

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

250-Melle, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.201898

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.349271

Row 76

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

260-ShenZhen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.53332

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.93041

Row 77

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

264-ShenZhen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.53332

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.93041

Row 78

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

271-Bogota, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

4.685567

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.056488

Row 79

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

275-Nürnberg, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.402814

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.053611

Row 80

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

277-Guatemala, Guatemala

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.602062

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.510353

Row 81

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

278-Guatemala, Guatemala

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.602062

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.510353

Row 84

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

283-San Salvador, El Salvador

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.678777

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.290086

Row 85

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

288-Vantaa, Finland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

60.294539

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

24.96456

Row 87

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

293-Üsküdar, Istanbul, Turkey

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.000699

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

29.054453

Row 89

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

295-Coevorden, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.646035

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.739471

Row 90

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

300-Utrecht, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.125872

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.043566

Row 93

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

304-Sofia, Bulgaria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.741242

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

23.366766

Row 94

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

306-Borgholzhausen, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.080615

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.249518

Row 95

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

310-Yokkaichi, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.000949

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

136.671391

Row 96

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

311-ESCOBAR, Argentina

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-34.364458

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-58.785039

Row 97

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

312-Cali, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

3.503047

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.515569

Row 98

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

315-Las Palmas, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.09024

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.712891

Row 99

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

318-Dothan, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.256521

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.391139

Row 100

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

319-Edwardsville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.796524

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.080557

Row 101

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

320-Redlands, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.075499

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.235699

Row 102

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

325-Pingshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.70677

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.351863

Row 103

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

326-Nürnberg, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.405

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.062623

Row 104

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

327-Schwabach, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.339233

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.019759

Row 105

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

328-Cuautitlán, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.658263

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.176774

Row 107

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

338-Basiglio (Milan), Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.448172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.1582

Row 108

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

340-Fairfield, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.885511

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.282803

Row 110

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

344-Meriden, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.512806

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.764178

Row 111

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

346-Sofia, Bulgaria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.670209

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

23.351255

Row 112

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

347-Puteaux, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.892022

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.233514

Row 114

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

349-Xiamen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.490614

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

118.081493

Row 115

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

350-Pontoon Beach, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.754414

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.05961

Row 116

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

351-Moorpark, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.279809

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.898687

Row 117

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

352-New Britain, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.666005

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.811688

Row 119

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

354-Mentone, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-37.978611

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

145.1083

Row 121

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

356-Edwardsville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.769308

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.073581

Row 122

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

358-Barcelona, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.401398

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.197751

Row 125

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

363-Tegucigalpa, Honduras

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.065049

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.1715

Row 128

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

370-Vicente Lopez, Argentina

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-34.52496

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-58.472224

Row 129

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

371-Mechelen, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.02118

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.481808

Row 130

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

375-Wombourne, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.524085

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.209484

Row 131

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

139-Lititz, Pennsylvania

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.12044

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.31192

Row 132

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

316-Canada Reverse Logistics-3PL, Ontario, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.53877

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.71085

Row 133

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

365-San José, Costa Rica

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

9.930307

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.104764

Row 134

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

366-Woking, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.31902

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.557

Row 135

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

372-Nottingham, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.98854

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.20907

Row 136

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

380-Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.30197

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.83969

Row 137

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

381-Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.30197

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.83969

Row 138

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

382-Ontario, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.62031

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.53415

Row 139

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

384-Bucharest, Romania

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.48481

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

26.09833

Row 140

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

386-Yinzhou, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.86135

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.59369

Row 141

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

387-Pingshan, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.7069

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.35171

Row 142

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

388--Yinzhou, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.86135

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.59369

Row 143

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

393-Guaynabo, Puerto Rico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.41399

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.10733

Row 144

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

420-Palm Valley, Florida

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.19916

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.38064
[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply
☑ Upstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:
☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:
☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Spectrum Brands has implemented a robust supply chain mapping process encompassing its Global Pet Care, Home Personal Care, and Home & Garden divisions (as previously noted in June 2023, Spectrum Brands divested its Hardware and Home Improvement division). This collaborative initiative, involving Procurement and Sustainability teams, systematically gathers comprehensive data on tier 1, 2, and 3 suppliers. Key information collected includes supplier contact details, associated SKUs, procurement expenditure, and product specifications. To ensure data accuracy, a process of email, survey, and follow-up verification is employed. While comprehensive mapping of tier 1 suppliers has been achieved, the company maintains a focus on expanding coverage for tiers 2 and 3. Spectrum Brands incorporates metrics related to its environmental, social, and governance (ESG) into the mapping process, including emissions, water usage, labor practices, and health and safety performance. A data privacy and security framework safeguards supplier and personally identifiable information. Through annual data analysis, Spectrum Brands regularly updates its mapping methodology, enabling a deeper understanding of the supply chain and identifying opportunities for improvement.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

The topic of plastics in our value chain, products, and packaging is a top priority for us, as we continue to make advancements in sustainable materials and packaging for our products, globally. We recognize the importance of addressing plastic waste in our global supply chain, and we are taking concerted action to address plastic in our products. This is an ongoing project because mapping the entire value chain for plastics produced, commercialized, used, and disposed of is a complex undertaking that requires significant resources and expertise.
[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The time horizon is primarily associated with our annual budgeting process and includes: (i) near-term expectations that are derived from current actions that can influence near term results; or (ii) strategic initiatives that can be actioned within a short-term time frame with near-term results.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

It can be a challenge to predict strategic and/or financial planning in the medium-term. An indicator for medium-term planning includes our consideration of the medium-term growth rate in perpetuity consistent with inflationary rates.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long-term planning is influenced by a consideration of a terminal value and is not projected to any substantial degree. Like medium-term planning, consideration of the long-term growth rate in perpetuity consistent with inflationary rates is often used for long-term planning.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ Local

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

☑ Scenario analysis

☑ Desk-based research

☑ External consultants

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Internal company methods

☑ Jurisdictional/landscape assessment

☑ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

☑ Tornado

☑ Avalanche

☑ Landslide

☑ Wildfires

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

☑ Heat waves

☑ Subsidence

☑ Cold wave/frost

☑ Glacial lake outburst

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress
☑ Soil erosion
☑ Solifluction
☑ Water stress
☑ Sea level rise
☑ Changing wind patterns
☑ Temperature variability
☑ Precipitation or hydrological variability
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
☑ Coastal erosion
☑ Soil degradation
☑ Change in land-use
☑ Permafrost thawing
☑ Ocean acidification
☑ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
☑ Other chronic physical driver, please specify **:Increased levels of macro or microplastic leakage to air, soil, freshwater and/or marine bodies**

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms
☑ Changes to national legislation
☑ Poor coordination between regulatory bodies
☑ Poor enforcement of environmental regulation
☑ Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits
☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
☑ Lack of mature certification and sustainability standards

Market

☑ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
☑ Changing customer behavior
☑ Uncertainty in the market signals

Reputation

☑ Impact on human health
☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)
☑ Stigmatization of sector

Technology

☑ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources

☑ Data access/availability or monitoring systems

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

☑ Transition to water intensive, low carbon energy sources

☑ Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

Liability

☑ Exposure to litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Employees

☑ Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

At the corporate level, Spectrum Brands has an annual risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks and opportunities to the Company that could have a strategic impact. Identified risks that have the potential to be material are disclosed in Spectrum Brands' public reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and include statements associated with climate change risk. As part of those public disclosures, and related to climate change related risks, the company's employees identify the risks associated with energy and water resource scarcity and extreme weather occurrences that have potential to disrupt operational and/or supply chain performance and impact product sales. Additionally, a climate-specific risk analysis informs potential risks and their associated impacts across multiple facets of the Company's activities, including operational continuity, supply chain resilience, and regulatory compliance. Our facilities face various operational risks associated with chemical handling and manufacturing. These include leaks, explosions, fires, natural disasters, equipment failures, supply chain disruptions, and environmental hazards. These risks could damage property, disrupt production, and cause environmental contamination. Divisional leadership, with support and guidance from the Corporate Environmental, Health & Safety and Legal teams, is responsible for managing these risks, which may be more pronounced in areas prone to extreme weather. Downstream risks, such as emerging regulations and reputational concerns, can have an impact on our stakeholders

and – particularly, our customers. Our product teams evaluate downstream impacts and strive to develop more innovative products, eliminate superfluous chemicals, and improve cradle-to-grave management of products and packaging. Our approach focuses on both ensuring regulatory compliance and conformity with emerging customer and consumer requirements.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Impacts

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☑ WRI Aqueduct

☑ WWF Water Risk Filter

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

☑ Groundwater depletion

☑ Sea level rise

☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
☑ Water stress
☑ Water quality at a basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply
☑ Employees

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

At Spectrum Brands we perform a water risk assessment annually of all our direct operations to identify, assess, and prioritize water-related dependencies and risks and share updates with our management and site managers to assist with risk governance and management. In order to assess basin dependencies and risk exposure of SBH's direct operations, we use the World Resources Institute (WRI) Aqueduct and World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Risk Filter tools. To identify risks, we use several filters based on the two mentioned tools, including all sites with high or extremely high overall basin water risk, high or extremely high current baseline water stress (Aqueduct baseline water stress or WWF water depletion) or future water stress (based on Aqueduct's business as usual 2030 and 2050 scenarios). We combine these basin-related risks with the following business criticality factors: operational sites with material water withdrawal (water withdrawals greater than average) and sites with high revenues (EBITDA/income per SF greater than average).
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

As part of our work toward the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive and California SB 261: Climate-Related Financial Risk Act reporting, we did an analysis to identify such interconnections. The interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities were assessed. This includes evaluating how the Company's reliance on natural resources (e.g., water, raw materials, energy) may be affected by environmental changes, and how those dependencies translate into operational, financial, and reputational risks. Additionally, the analysis considers how environmental impacts can create both risks (e.g., regulatory penalties) and opportunities (e.g., product innovation). However, no dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities related to our organization have been identified to be substantial, in terms of how we calculate risks via our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process. If we are made aware of an event or a material, tangible risk, further analysis will be carried out.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

To prioritize site locations, the water risk assessment analyzes sites using three different filters. The first layer applies two filters to identify sites where the river basin has either: (a) "high" or "extremely high" overall basin risk exposure or; (b) "high" or "extremely high" risk exposure to current water stress or potential future water stress scenarios (for BAU 2030 or 2050). Both the mentioned exposures are based on Aqueduct and Water Risk Filter tools.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands global Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands evaluation of opportunities, we define opportunities that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.3) Please explain

We are committed to complying with all national and local regulations at our operational sites. Most of our wastewater is managed and treated by third-party providers, such as local utilities, in strict accordance with these regulations. As a result of these local compliance activities and providers, we currently do not have a company-wide system in place to identify and classify potential water pollutants.
[Fixed row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands global ERM process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy. We also perform a water risk assessment annually on all of SBH's direct operations to identify, assess, and prioritize water-related dependencies and risks and share updates with our management and site managers to assist with risk governance and management. In order to assess basin dependencies and risk exposure of SBH's direct operations, we use the WRI Aqueduct and WWF Risk Filter tools. To identify risks, we use several filters based on the two mentioned tools, including all sites identified as having high or extremely high overall basin water risk, high or extremely high current baseline water stress (Aqueduct baseline water stress or WRF

water depletion) or future water stress (based on Aqueduct's business as usual 2030 and 2050 scenarios). Once those risks are identified, we combine them with the following business criticality factors: (i) operational sites with material water withdrawal (water withdrawals greater than average); and (ii) sites with high revenues (EBITDA/income per SF greater than average). While none of our facilities meet the threshold of 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level (i.e., 15% EBITDA), we have identified four (4) high priority facilities in terms of our water risk mitigation efforts.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(3.1.3) Please explain

Identifying plastics is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands at this time.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Pollution incident

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

SPB relies on a network of upstream suppliers for critical input materials, production support and services. These suppliers produce air emissions like Sox, Nox and HPS from their day-to-day operations. If air emissions are not properly managed, SPB suppliers may face potential penalties and fines. If SPB is unable to secure an alternative supplier, such penalties could impact SPB through potential increased production costs and a disrupted supply chain in the event a supplier is no longer permitted to produce.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are unable to calculate the anticipated effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization at this time. However, we would expect the effect to be nominal compared to other business risks and not exceed the threshold detailed in our global ERM process.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Reputation

☑ Other reputation risk, please specify :Shifts in consumer preferences

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

As consumers are expected to support brands with strong environmental credentials, we expect to further enhance our already strong commitment to the environment and sustainability; particularly, in light of new or increased regulations and customer/consumer demands that could cause us to make changes to the products we offer and operations we manage. Further, enhancing our commitment to the environment and sustainability is anticipated to incur positive reputational impacts.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are unable to calculate the anticipated effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization at this time. However, we would expect the effect to be nominal compared to other business risks and not exceed the threshold detailed in our global ERM process.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Heat wave

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Impacts on Spectrum Brands' workforce and business output due to inability to work in dangerous conditions. Impact on sites causing damage to cooling infrastructure.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Task Force of Climate-Related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD"), we have estimated the anticipated worst-case effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization, and we estimate the effect of increased heat waves to present a worst-case scenario risk of approximately $90.40 million to the business based on internal calculation factors, including, by way of example, increased operating costs and capital expenditures associated with heat wave adaptation. Please note that this estimated financial effect is for all Spectrum Brands locations, it considers the worst-case scenario, and it is extremely unlikely (or statistically improbable) that a heat wave would impact all Spectrum Brands locations simultaneously or result in this worst-case scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

6107357

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

82180000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

A heat wave may cause closure of facilities, as well as direct on-site damage. Impacts to both workforce and site infrastructure directly correlate to impact on the sum of total expense.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Impacts on Spectrum Brands' workforce and business output due to inability to work in dangerous conditions. Impact on sites causing damage to infrastructure.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In accordance with the guidelines set forth by the TCFD, we have estimated the anticipated worst-case effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization and we would expect the effect of a global, significant, and increased heavy precipitation event to present a worst-case scenario risk of approximately $246.36 million to the business based on internal calculation factors, including, by way of example, increased operating costs and capital expenditures associated with heavy precipitation adaptation. Please note that this estimated financial effect is for all Spectrum Brands locations, it considers the worst-case scenario, and it is

extremely unlikely (or statistically improbable) that a heavy precipitation event would impact all Spectrum Brands locations simultaneously or result in this worst-case scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

1076254

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

155150000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Heavy precipitation has immediate consensus as well as many other associated effects such as flooding, landslides, etc. This can cause damage to facilities from intense precipitation at the site. Snow and hail can cause structural damage to the site as well. This may cause a direct impact on total expenses of each site analyzed.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Tornado

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Finland
☑ Germany

☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Large impact of high intensity damage to sites where high winds and high frequency of tornados cause site destruction. Inability to operate sites and danger to workforce.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In accordance with the guidelines set forth by the TCFD, we have estimated the anticipated worst-case effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization and we would expect the effect of a global, significant, and increased tornado event to present a worst-case scenario risk of approximately $240.64 million risk to the business based on internal calculation factors including increased operating costs and capital expenditures associated with tornado adaptation. Please note that this estimated financial effect is for all Spectrum Brands locations, it considers the worst-case scenario, and it is extremely unlikely (or statistically improbable) that a global tornado event would impact all Spectrum Brands locations simultaneously or result in this worst-case scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

394432

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

10110000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Acute and abrupt damage on sites, especially in Midwest areas where tornados are becoming more consistent. Wind patterns and increased intensity of storms allows for increased sightings and damage from tornados on property, life, and operations.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk6

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Wildfires

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

SPB globally operates manufacturing and office facilities, some of which are situated in areas at risk of climate change hazards such as flooding, wildfires and storms. Physical damages to facilities may lead to decreased revenue due to operational disruptions and increased capital expenditures due to repairing or replacing compromised assets. There is also a risk of injury or harm to employees due to these hazards, which could lead to fines, litigation, or other legal action against SPB.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In accordance with the guidelines set forth by the TCFD, we have estimated the anticipated worst-case effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization and we would expect the effect of increased wildfire events to present a worst-case scenario risk of approximately $93.82 million to the business based on internal calculation factors, including increased operating costs and capital expenditures associated with wildfire adaptation. Please note that this estimated financial effect is for all Spectrum Brands locations, it considers the worst-case scenario, and it is extremely unlikely (or statistically improbable) that wildfires would impact all Spectrum Brands locations simultaneously or result in this worst-case scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

3461974

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

15480000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Increased intensity and likelihood of wildfires potentially causing full destruction of property and surrounding areas, disrupting markets, supply chains, operations, and assets.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk7

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Water stress: Ratio of total water withdrawals to available renewable surface and groundwater supplies (watershed resolution). Impact to price of water availability damaging asset's operating capacity.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In accordance with the guidelines set forth by the TCFD, we have estimated the anticipated worst-case effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization and we would expect the effect of increased water stress to present a worst-case scenario risk of approximately $264.6 million to the business based on internal calculation factors including increased operating costs and capital expenditures associated with water stress adaptation. Please note that this estimated financial effect is for all Spectrum Brands locations, and it is extremely unlikely (or statistically improbable) that a water stress event would impact all Spectrum Brands locations simultaneously or result in this worst-case scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

47780000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

117620000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Where the trend represents the difference in annual water stress between the Future and the Reference periods, and the year-to-year variability represents the difference in seasonal variability of water stress between the Future and the Reference periods. Impacts of water costs and ability to operate from lack of water or water sourcing.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Develop drought emergency plans

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

We have estimated the financial metric vulnerable to physical risks through an estimation 0.5% of total revenue per reporting year. The figure provided is an estimation and should not be considered an exact amount.
[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

(3.3.1) Water-related regulatory violations

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.3.2) Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties

Select all that apply

☑ Enforcement orders or other penalties but none that are considered as significant

(3.3.3) Comment

In the reporting year, we received a Biological Oxygen Demand violation notification. In this case, site managers collaborated with local authorities and implemented corrective measures. No fines or other major penalties were issued.
[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☑ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands determines substantive effect based on whether the opportunity is greater than 15% impact on EBITDA. Based on our current evaluation, no water-related opportunities meet the 15% EBITDA threshold.
[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Increased efficiency of production and/or distribution processes

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Germany

☑ Philippines

☑ Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Spectrum Brands has identified an opportunity to realize reduced operating costs via the implementation of resource efficiency (energy) projects in our owned facilities. Such projects have the added benefit of reducing our greenhouse gas emissions and improving our operational resiliency. We expect to save hundreds of thousands of dollars in operating costs annually from resource efficiency projects implemented in the previous two reporting years, with the majority of those savings coming from FY2024.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We anticipate the implemented resource efficiency projects will result in a reduction of annual operational costs. While these savings will represent a moderate impact on the overall operational expenses of Spectrum Brands, they are quantifiable and noteworthy.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

250000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

600000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The potential financial impact figures represent anticipated annual reductions in operating costs realized via annual resource efficiency projects. The cost range represents the lower and upper bounds of annual cost savings Spectrum Brands has achieved via resource efficiency projects implemented over the past two reporting years. Where project information was unavailable, costs were estimated using past projects that had similarities in estimated CO2 savings, monetary investment, and anticipated payback period.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

500000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost to realize the opportunity represents the capital investment required in resource efficiency projects for a given year. The cost is calculated as the average capital investment Spectrum Brands has committed to implement resource efficiency projects over the past two reporting years. Where project information was unavailable, costs were estimated using past projects that had similarities in estimated CO2 savings, monetary investment, and anticipated payback period. Financial figures are calculated based on several factors, including the expected lifetime of the project and the monetary investment required.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

At the divisional level, our teams collaborated at least biennially with Senior Leadership regarding incoming energy and water efficiency opportunities and improvements and address requests to climate change related inquiries and ongoing projects.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☑ Use of renewable energy sources

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Opportunities linked to renewable energy, typically reducing exposure to market volatility or providing a long-term cost saving.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Green energy can provide cheaper prices and increases the percentage of green energy in total energy procurement.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

451000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost calculation is based on Spectrum Brands' current energy usage and the estimated investment required to achieve a material reduction through the deployment of renewable energy sources.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Transition to low-carbon energy sources across our operations to reduce emissions and align with climate-goals. Strategy includes low-carbon transportation and distribution, sustainable procurement, and responsible sourcing (short-term).

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☑ Use of low-carbon energy sources

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru

☑ Chile

☑ Spain

☑ Canada

☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Opportunities linked to renewable energy, typically reducing exposure to market volatility or providing long-term cost savings.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Green energy can provide cheaper prices and increases the percentage of green energy in total energy procurement.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

451000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost calculation is based on Spectrum Brands' current energy usage and the estimated investment required to achieve a material reduction through the deployment of renewable energy sources.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Invest in renewable energy projects to decrease dependency on non-renewable energy sources.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☑ Improved supply chain engagement

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Poland
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary

☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Netherlands
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Guatemala
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Improved supply chain engagement can be a powerful tool for addressing climate change. By working closely with suppliers, companies can reduce their carbon footprint & costs, ensure ethical sourcing, build resilience, and enhance their brand reputation. This can be shared with the clients to have a stronger and more resilient supply chain and boost brand perception.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Addressing sustainability concerns in the supply chain could help to solidify Spectrum Brands' value chain and address emerging issues from consumers.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:
☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

200000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost calculation is based on the fee to utilize a supply chain engagement and management tool to better communicate with suppliers, create KPIs, and track performance.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Invest in a supply chain engagement and management tool to better communicate initiatives and goals with our entire supply chain. This will support clearer, more efficient communication of sustainability and climate-related initiatives while enhancing the tracking and reporting of key performance indicators.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp5

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☑ Use of public sector incentives

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia

☑ Guatemala
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Addressing sustainability concerns in the supply chain could help to solidify Spectrum Brands' value chain and address emerging issues from consumers.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Increased access to capital

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Spectrum Brands' ability to access credit.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:
☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Investment Tax Credit (ITC) allows 30% of solar installation costs to be claimed as a tax credit.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Invest in renewable energy (e.g., solar) and take advantage of tax credits (such as the ITC) to reduce capital expenditures for implementing solar.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:
☑ Opp6

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services
☑ Ability to diversify business activities

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Opportunity connected to developing materials, infrastructure, technologies, and services that enable climate adaptation and/or resilience.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Spectrum Brands' ability to access different markets, materials, infrastructure, and technologies that enable climate adaptation and/or resilience.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

100000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Investment Tax Credit (ITC) allows 30% of solar installation costs to be claimed as a tax credit.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Invest in renewable energy (e.g., solar) and take advantage of tax credits (such as the ITC) to reduce capital expenditures for implementing solar.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp7

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Peru

☑ Chile

☑ Spain

☑ Canada

☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Colombia
☑ Honduras
☑ Nicaragua
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Netherlands
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Ecuador
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ Guatemala
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Dominican Republic

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Opportunity connected to developing low emission products and services. Specifically, innovating in the area of new products and services.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

By developing new products and services, Spectrum Brands has the opportunity to gain new and diverse revenue streams and achieve increased sales.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost calculation is difficult to quantify across our entire product portfolio. This cost can vary depending on several factors including the type of materials, infrastructure, and technology. Given this, we are unable to assign a cost to realize the opportunity.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Embed sustainability-attributes into new product development processes. We have already begun this strategy.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

We have estimated the financial metric vulnerable to physical opportunities through an estimation of approximately 0.5% of total revenue per reporting year. The figure provided is an estimation and should not be considered an exact amount.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.*

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

☑ General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :(i) ESG Governance Policy; (ii) Corporate Governance Guidelines

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Other, please specify **:General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. This includes topics such as sustainability strategy, climate-related targets, energy use and GHG inventory reports**

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary ("General Counsel") provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets, measuring and managing the Company's energy use, and reporting out on our greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, our General Counsel may present to the Board the status of the Company's progress on Environmental, Health & Safety (EH&S) metrics and sustainability performance in our factories and/or products, such as packaging innovations and improvements, transportation efficiencies, factory energy and carbon emission reductions, waste and water recycling efforts and reductions.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

☑ General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :(i) ESG Governance Policy; (ii) Corporate Governance Guidelines

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Other, please specify **:Reviewing and guiding strategy and reviewing and guiding the risk management process**

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets, measuring and managing the Company's energy and water use, and reporting out on our greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, our General Counsel may present to the Board the status of the Company's progress on EH&S metrics and sustainability performance in our factories and/or products, such as packaging innovations and improvements, transportation efficiencies, factory energy and carbon emission reductions, waste and water recycling efforts and reductions.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Other, please specify :When discussing climate related issues with the Board, the Company provides relevant information to ensure that the Board members are able to competently make knowing and informed decisions and recommendations on these issues.

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

☑ Other, please specify :When discussing water-related issues with the Board, the Company provides relevant information to ensure that the Board members are able to competently make knowing and informed decisions and recommendations on these issues.

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.*

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets
☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning
☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis
☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:
☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:
☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on ESG issues, including climate and environmental issues. In addition, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the Sustainability Team). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary who provides updates on

ESG matters at meetings of the Board. Primary responsibility for climate-related issues is assigned to the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Also, the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary is the Board Secretary and is charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on ESG issues, including climate, water and environmental issues. In addition, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the Sustainability Team). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board. Primary responsibility for climate and water-related issues is assigned to the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Also, the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary is the Board Secretary and is charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Nominating and Corporate Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

☑ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

☑ Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on ESG issues, including climate and environmental issues. In addition, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the Sustainability Team). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board, including the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Primary responsibility for climate-related issues is assigned to the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Also, the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary is the Board Secretary and is charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Nominating and corporate governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on ESG issues, including climate, water and environmental issues. In addition, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the Sustainability Team). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board, including the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Primary responsibility for climate and water-related issues is assigned to the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Also, the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary is the Board Secretary and is charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The proper and thoughtful operations, logistics, facilities, and supply chain management of environmental issues, including considerations related to water and climate change, is inherently a part of Spectrum Brands' short-term and long-term performance and thereby its compensation outcome. Poor operational and supply chain management of water and climate change-related issues would likely negatively impact our annual performance and, in turn, reduce incentive compensation.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The proper and thoughtful operations, logistics, facilities, and supply chain management of environmental issues, including considerations related to water and climate change, is inherently a part of Spectrum Brands' short-term and long-term performance and thereby its compensation outcome. Poor operational and supply chain management of water and climate change-related issues would likely negatively impact our annual performance and, in turn, reduce incentive compensation.
[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Spectrum Brands maintains a comprehensive Environmental, Biodiversity, and Deforestation Policy encompassing all global operations, subsidiaries, and affiliates. This policy ensures adherence to applicable EH&S regulations, resource allocation for EH&S initiatives, and the selection of responsible suppliers. By integrating sustainability metrics and continuous improvement practices, Spectrum Brands demonstrates its commitment to environmental and climate risks and opportunities.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Other environmental commitment, please specify :Minimize impact on the environment by assessing ways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, reduce hazardous and non-hazardous waste, increase recycling and reduce water use. We continue to evaluate renewable energy sources for our global operations.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

4.6.1.1Spectrum Brands - Environmental, Biodiversity & Deforestation Policy.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Spectrum Brands maintains a comprehensive Environmental Policy encompassing all global operations, subsidiaries, and affiliates. This policy ensures adherence to applicable Environmental, Health & Safety (EHS) regulations, resource allocation for EHS initiatives, and the selection of responsible suppliers. By integrating sustainability metrics and continuous improvement practices, Spectrum Brands demonstrates its commitment to environmental and water risks and opportunities.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Water-specific commitments

☑ Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes

☑ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

4.6.1.2Spectrum Brands - Environmental, Biodiversity & Deforestation Policy.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

	Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ No, we have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Spectrum Brands' engagement activities are consistent with our own internal goals. We have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment. Before information is communicated externally, the respective teams (communication and public relations, legal, and others) are engaged to ensure alignment and accuracy with internal commitments, goals, and strategy.

(4.11.9) Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.11.10) Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Spectrum Brands does not engage in these activities due to our focus being on manufacturing the best products that fit our customers' needs. We continuously monitor policies, laws, and regulations related to climate change and evaluate them for their impact on our products, activities, and business operations.
[Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Emission targets

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Water accounting figures

☑ Other, please specify **:Hazardous and Non-hazardous Waste**

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Governance: pg. 59 Risks & Opportunities: pgs. 14, 22, 23, 26, 59 Strategy: pgs. 6, 8, 59, 60, Value Chain Engagement: pgs. 20 & 26 Emissions Figures: pgs. 27 & 28 Emissions Targets: pg. 23 Water Accounting Figures: pgs. 29 & 30 Hazardous & Non-hazardous Waste: pgs. 31 & 32

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

4.12.1Spectrum Brands June 2025 Corporate Sustainability Report_LowRes.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Reference the attached report for more details on Spectrum Brands' June 2025 Corporate Sustainability Report.
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☑ First time carrying out analysis

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Spectrum Brands does not currently utilize forward-looking scenario analyses associated with water to understand the impact of water-related risks on our business; however, forward-looking scenario analyses are underway. For example, we are working with our advisors to better understand the water risk and impact on our businesses. This includes undertaking efficiency measures, monitoring potential additional efficiency measures, and making strategic decisions based on risk.

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios
☑ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:
☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply
☑ Policy
☑ Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:
☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Net Zero Emissions (“NZE”) Scenario, economic drivers enabling increased investment and mobilization of capital towards renewable energy, stringent climate policy, and increased demand of climate positive or sustainable products and materials.

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Under NZE scenario, economic activity in reference to private and public capital is being mobilized towards renewable investment. The regulatory policy landscape is quite stringent all over the world. Microeconomic factors that play into pricing models may not be clear and specific micro-economies may have different outcomes and reviews. Under Announced Pledges Scenario (“APS”), if national pledges are kept as hypothetically implemented, the regulatory landscape will be stringent, but there will be many nuanced economic and geopolitical factors to keep 1.7 degrees Celsius in consideration. As for market flow, high demand and inconsistent elasticity for renewable content cause challenges in projecting price. Under Stated Policies Scenario (“STEPS”), all stated and implemented goals focus predominantly on developed nations and large economies. Therefore, the longer-term projections for this scenario are more difficult to hypothesize solely based on the inability to understand the smaller macroeconomic and microeconomic forces that provide the final push to keep below 2.5 degrees Celsius.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We used the NZE, APS, and STEPS frameworks to capture a range of plausible futures based on varying levels of climate ambition and policy implementation. This approach allows us to assess potential risks and opportunities under aggressive decarbonization (NZE), current national commitments (APS), and existing policy trajectories (STEPS). By analyzing all three, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of how our business could be impacted across different regulatory and market environments.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA APS

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.6ºC - 1.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :APS Scenario assumes all countries fully implement announced climate targets, resulting in a lower projected temperature increase compared to STEPS.

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Under APS, if national pledges are kept as hypothetically implemented, the regulatory landscape will be stringent, but there will be many nuanced economic and geopolitical factors to keep 1.7 degrees Celsius in consideration. As for market flow, high demand and inconsistent elasticity for renewable content cause challenges in projecting price.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We used the NZE, APS, and STEPS frameworks to capture a range of plausible futures based on varying levels of climate ambition and policy implementation. This approach allows us to assess potential risks and opportunities under aggressive decarbonization (NZE), current national commitments (APS), and existing policy trajectories (STEPS). By analyzing all three, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of how our business could be impacted across different regulatory and market environments.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.0ºC - 2.4ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :STEPS scenario only considers currently implemented policies, leading to a higher projected temperature increase as it does not factor in additional climate actions that might be announced but not yet enacted.

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Under STEPS, all stated and implemented goals focus predominantly on developed nations and large economies. Therefore, the longer-term projections for this scenario are harder to hypothesize solely based on the inability to understand the smaller macroeconomic and microeconomic forces that provide for the final push to keep below 2.5 degrees.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We used the NZE, APS, and STEPS frameworks to capture a range of plausible futures based on varying levels of climate ambition and policy implementation. This approach allows us to assess potential risks and opportunities under aggressive decarbonization (NZE), current national commitments (APS), and existing policy trajectories (STEPS). By analyzing all three, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of how our business could be impacted across different regulatory and market environments.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

The scenario analysis allowed us to more clearly understand at which points Spectrum Brands may be exposed to the risks and opportunities of climate change, both directly and indirectly, and the possible impacts on its business strategy and financial planning. At a strategic level, Spectrum Brands faces both transition risks and physical risks, including climate-related disruptions, across its direct operations and supply chain. Given its reliance on manufacturing, raw materials, and consumer preferences, climate change presents challenges to sustaining the current business growth trajectory. However, the analysis outlines three scenarios that offer opportunities for Spectrum Brands to reduce both costs and their environmental footprint.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :We are actively evaluating setting a Science Based Target, which would align Spectrum Brand with a 1.5°C world.

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Spectrum Brands has not committed to a target aligned with a 1.5°C world; however, the Company is in the process of evaluating, with the intent of developing a climate transition plan with the expectation that it will align with a 1.5°C world. Our General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company’s legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets and greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, Spectrum Brands has a risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks to the Company that could have a strategic impact, including climate-related risks. As we continue to evaluate our climate related risks and opportunity analysis, we will continue to evaluate how Spectrum Brands will adapt to meet industry expectations regarding climate initiatives and carbon emissions. We are actively evaluating setting a Science Based Target, which would align Spectrum Brand with a 1.5°C world.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Products and services
☑ Upstream/downstream value chain
☑ Investment in R&D
☑ Operations
[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Potential decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services has influenced our approach to designing our products and making considerations within our sourcing, procurement, packaging engineering, and product development teams. These teams are encouraged to move beyond standard measures of providing products to improve the product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design, materials of construction, and packaging from a sustainability perspective. Our new product development process now has "Sustainability" included in the evaluation process. iii) Most Substantial Strategic Decisions to Date: We have adopted 100% EPS/PVC elimination for the UK and EU. In addition, we have begun utilizing post-consumer resins (“PCR”) in select bottles and packaging depending on regulatory requirements, structural and quality expectations. Our packaging engineering team has made advancements in packaging material source reduction, reducing the amount of packaging material without compromising the quality and performance of our trusted products. Our Tetra brand has made substantial improvements in recyclability with the relaunch of our NutriEvolution, using 100% post-industrial recycled material (PIR) in the packaging and saving up to 520 tons of plastic annually. Our Nature's Miracle and

Rejuvenate brands have incorporated 25% PCR in their bottles, removing over 368,000 pounds of virgin resin last year. In addition to packaging improvements, we have also reviewed our shipping methods to develop more sustainable processes, reducing the amount of corrugate needed to ship our products.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: We have collected GHG emission data from our Tier 1 supply chain (our Scope 3 emissions), particularly with those suppliers we are currently engaging in the Walmart THESIS efforts. ii. Strategy time horizon(s): At the corporate level, emissions and results from supplier surveys are collected and reviewed annually. iii. Most substantial strategic decisions to date: For the past 9 years we have invested in surveying our supply chain on various sustainability topics (e.g., GHG emissions, sustainable packaging, etc.) to respond to the Sustainability Insight System (THESIS) platform. In 2024, we surveyed 479** unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers. As a result of collecting and reporting on both internal and supply chain practices, Spectrum Brands maintained the company-wide average score for reporting to THESIS after seeing constant improvements in scores for 5 consecutive years. Spectrum Brands outperformed competitors in the same industry by 21% and achieved full points on 23% of KPIs (Key Performance Indicators). Spectrum Brands performed particularly well on questions measuring manufacturing greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety (product design and tracking), product takeback programs, energy efficiency (use phase), supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals KPIs.*

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Shifts in consumer preferences have affected Spectrum Brands' investment in R&D in how we design, source, procure, and develop new products. We are encouraged to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed at least quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. iii) Most substantial strategic decisions to date: Our Russell Hobbs brand has made substantial innovations in reducing the amount of energy used by its Satisfry Air Fryers. Compared to a conventional electric oven, five of our Russell Hobbs Air Fryers save approximately 40% - 50% of the amount of electricity to cook the same amount of food. Each has received the Green Circle Certification for Energy Savings and have obtained the Amazon Climate Friendly Badge. These products help reduce consumer's energy usage and contribute to lower emissions.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Shifts in consumer preferences have affected SBH investment in R&D in how we design, source, procure, and develop new products; We are encouraged to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed at least quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. iii) Most substantial strategic decisions to date: Our Russell Hobbs brand has made substantial innovations in reducing the amount of energy used by its Satisfry Air Fryers. Compared to a conventional electric oven, five of our

Russell Hobbs Air Fryers save approximately 40% - 50% of the amount of electricity to cook the same amount of food. Each has received the Green Circle Certification for Energy Savings and have obtained the Amazon Climate Friendly Badge. These products help reduce consumer's energy usage and contribute to lower emissions.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply
☑ Direct costs
☑ Indirect costs
☑ Capital expenditures
☑ Capital allocation
☑ Acquisitions and divestments

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Spectrum Brands has invested in energy and water usage reduction projects at our facilities to reduce our environmental impact and lower emissions. We anticipate these projects will lessen our environmental risks, which is reflected in our annual financial planning. Additionally, we have invested in recyclable packaging and the use of post-consumer recycled content, which lessen environmental risks related to pollution.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not currently have the necessary information to quantify water-related capital expenditure.
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

Spectrum Brands does not price environmental externalities due to the absence of mechanisms to internalize costs and the multitude of factors that can affect pricing calculations. A phased approach allows us to build internal capacity, link pricing to corporate climate and resource reduction targets, and ensure data quality before scaling by FY2027.
[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Supplier Climate Related Targets

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Criteria for assessment is based on the supplier's disclosure of climate related goals and targets. Additionally, compliance with environmental-related regulations is another factor that's monitored to determine whether suppliers are mindful of impacts to the environment.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

479

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Supplier disclosure of water discharge quantity

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Criteria for assessment is based on the supplier's disclosure of water discharge quantity. Additionally, compliance with water-related regulations is another factor that's monitored to determine whether suppliers are mindful of impacts to the environment.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

479
[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change
☑ Procurement spend
☑ Product lifecycle
☑ Product safety and compliance
☑ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Spectrum Brands evaluates suppliers on several criteria to ensure they meet our standards. Suppliers that meet or exceed expectations are prioritized over suppliers that do not. In addition, the decision to engage with suppliers is based on several factors beyond environmental issues.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Product safety and compliance
☑ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Spectrum Brands evaluates suppliers on several criteria to ensure they meet our standards. Suppliers that meet or exceed expectations are prioritized over suppliers that do not. In addition, the decision to engage with suppliers is based on several factors beyond water issues.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Although not explicitly included in the contract, all suppliers must agree to comply, and must comply, with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, as set forth in our Supplier Code of Conduct.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Although not explicitly included in the contract, all suppliers must agree to comply, and must comply, with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, as set forth in our Supplier Code of Conduct.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :- Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1 and 2) are not required from our suppliers but are strongly encouraged.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We do not currently collect direct scope 3 emissions from our suppliers, as a spend-based approach is used for these calculations. We anticipate collecting direct scope 3 emissions data from our suppliers in the next two years.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :- Water reduction and withdrawal are not required from our suppliers but are strongly encouraged. - Meeting regulatory compliance requirements

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

The supplier self-assessment provides suppliers with the opportunity to provide Spectrum Brands with data related to their water reduction and withdrawal measurements. While this is not required from our suppliers, it is strongly encouraged.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Implementation of emissions reduction initiatives is not required but is strongly encouraged.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We do not currently collect direct scope 3 emissions from our suppliers, as a spend-based approach is used for these calculations. We anticipate collecting direct scope 3 emissions data from our suppliers in the next two years.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Meeting regulatory compliance requirements

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We do not currently collect direct scope 3 emissions from our suppliers, as a spend-based approach is used for these calculations. We anticipate collecting direct scope 3 emissions data from our suppliers in the next two years.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Adaptation to climate change

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2024, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Total water withdrawal volumes reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect water quality information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., discharge quality, pollution incidents, hazardous substances)

☑ Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2024, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ No other supplier engagement

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Circular economy

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2024, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Removal of plastic from the environment

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2024, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Substitution of hazardous substances with less harmful substances

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2024, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain transparency and human rights

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2024, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Spectrum Brands reports to CDP, as well as the Walmart Sustainability Index (WMSI)/THESIS. Our strategy for prioritizing engagement is influenced by our customers' priorities, which prompts us to measure greenhouse gas emissions and other climate change impacts beyond our standard organizational reporting. On an annual basis, we also report to our retailer customers examples of sustainability projects our company is committed to and our performance. For example, we shared with one of our customers (Walmart) an annual summary of the past year's sustainability efforts in our supply chain, such as one factory who has converted their lighting to LEDs, reducing their overall electricity consumption, and improving lighting efficiency.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Success is measured by consistently improving sustainability performance across our product categories in the Walmart Sustainability Index/THESIS. Spectrum Brands continues to maintain a positive company-wide average score for reporting to THESIS. Notably, we have continued the trend of outperforming our competitors on our company-wide average score for the 9th straight year. In general, Spectrum Brands performed particularly well on manufacturing greenhouse gas emissions,

transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety - product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency - use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals KPIs.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Spectrum Brands reports to CDP, as well as the Walmart Sustainability Index (WMSI)/THESIS. Our strategy for prioritizing engagement is influenced by our customers' priorities, which prompts us to measure climate change impacts, including water-related metrics, beyond our standard organizational reporting. On an annual basis, we also report to our retailer customers examples of sustainability projects our company is committed to and our performance.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Success is measured by consistently improving sustainability performance across our product categories in the Walmart Sustainability Index/THESIS. Spectrum Brands continues to maintain a positive company-wide average score for reporting to THESIS. Notably, we have continued the trend of outperforming our competitors on our company-wide average score for the 9th straight year.
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate opportunities associated with implementing environmental initiatives identified through CDP supply chain member engagement and will evaluate on a year over year basis.
[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

The Greenhouse Gas (GHG) protocol is utilized to calculate scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions. The standardized framework ensures accurate and consistent reporting of our emissions data.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Spectrum Brands has adopted an "operational control approach," including all global facilities over which we hold the operating license. By adopting an operational control approach to define the boundaries of its water inventory, Spectrum Brands has chosen to quantify and report water withdrawal, consumption, and discharge for operations directly under its control.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Not calculated

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Spectrum Brands does not calculate plastics-related performance data.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Not calculated

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Spectrum Brands does not calculate biodiversity-related performance data.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?	Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)
	Select all that apply ☑ Yes, a change in boundary	*SBH's FY24 GHG inventory was calculated following the strategic divestment of its Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business in June 2023 (FY23).*

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2, location-based

☑ Scope 2, market-based

☑ Scope 3

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Spectrum Brands follows the guidelines of the World Resource Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) GHG Protocol for adjusting the base year GHG inventory. The base year inventory is adjusted in response to any structural or methodology changes if the resulting adjustment is more than 5% of base year emissions. Adjustments less than this threshold are considered insignificant and are decided on a case-by-case basis.

(7.1.3.4) Past years’ recalculation

Select from:

☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*Spectrum Brands is reporting both a location-based and market-based Scope 2 figure.*

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5867

(7.5.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38770

(7.5.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42187

(7.5.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

882094

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5362

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9532

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 & 2 energy consumption-related emissions are multiplied by emission factors for fuel production and transmission & distribution losses. Reported as market-based value.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

148971

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4795

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Waste stream data is collected at the Company's facilities and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions data is provided by waste management providers.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6701

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Mileage data is collected from sales employees and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption data is provided by vehicle owners.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4850

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Mileage data is calculated based on location data, work from home energy use is estimated. and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption or emissions data is provided by employees

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated: Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands products are finished goods and do not undergo further processing after point of sale.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated: Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated: Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands does not own any assets leased to other entities.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands does not operate any franchises.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: This category is not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: This category is not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: This category is not applicable for Spectrum Brands.
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5867

(7.6.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38770

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42187

(7.7.4) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

882094

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

5362

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

9532

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Scope 1 & 2 energy consumption-related emissions are multiplied by emission factors for fuel production and transmission & distribution losses. Reported as market-based value.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

148971

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4795

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Waste stream data is collected at the Company's facilities and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions data is provided by waste management providers.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

6701

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Mileage data is collected from sales employees and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption data is provided by vehicle owners.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4850

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Mileage data is calculated based on location data, work from home energy use is estimated. and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption or emissions data is provided by employees

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands products are finished goods and do not undergo further processing after point of sale.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not own any assets leased to other entities.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not operate any franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable for Spectrum Brands.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:*

	Verification/assurance status
	☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY24 GHG Verification Statement v2.00 (2025-0929) (002).pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY24 GHG Verification Statement v2.00 (2025-0929) (002).pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY24 GHG Verification Statement v2.00 (2025-0929) (002).pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Capital goods
☑ Scope 3: Business travel
☑ Scope 3: Employee commuting
☑ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services
☑ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
☑ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution
☑ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY24 GHG Verification Statement v2.00 (2025-0929) (002).pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62120

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

56

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Spectrum Brands strategically divested its former Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business in FY23. In FY23, Scope 1 & 2 emissions (market-based) from the HHI division were 62,120 tCO2e, or 56% of SBH's total Scope 1 & 2 FY23 emissions. The decrease in emissions in FY24 from the strategic divestment is assumed to be equal to that of FY23.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

5859.1

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

4.9

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

2.9

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)
[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

452

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

452

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

549.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

471.5

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

36.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

627.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

576.1

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.1

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

67.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2525.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2525.9

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.1

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Dominican Republic

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Ecuador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

El Salvador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

61.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

61.9

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.4

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1748.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1864.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3843.5

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.1

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.9

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.8

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

153.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

241.8

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.1

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

153.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

153.2

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

338.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

338.2

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

635.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1054.7

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

54.7

Nicaragua

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

104.1

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.5

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

Slovenia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.8

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.4

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.8

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

90.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

438.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

823.4

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3726.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30761.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

31319.7
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By business division
☑ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	*Corporate*	*285*
Row 2	*H&G*	*1055*
Row 3	*GPC*	*3157*
Row 5	*HPC*	*1370*

[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Refrigerant gasses*	*233.4*
Row 2	*Natural gas*	*5583.5*
Row 3	*Gaseous fuel activities*	*38.2*
Row 4	*Liquid fuel activities*	*11.8*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By activity

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Corporate*	*3673*	*3735*
Row 2	*H&G*	*8486*	*8662*
Row 3	*GPC*	*16320*	*17333*
Row 5	*HPC*	*10291*	*12457*

[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Electricity*	*38770*	*42187*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5867

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38770

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42187

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions are associated with the consolidated accounting group.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions are associated with the consolidated accounting group.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

552111966

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1093

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

121547513

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

241

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 5

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56353005

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

112

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 6

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

53066511

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

105

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 7

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

552111966

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

7222

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 8

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

121547513

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1590

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 11

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56353005

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

737

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 12

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

53066511

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

694

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 13

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

552111966

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

7859

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 14

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

121547513

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1730

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 17

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56353005

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

802

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 18

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

53066511

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

755

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 19

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

552111966

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

197869

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 20

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

121547513

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

43561

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 23

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56353005

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

20196

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A

Row 24

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

53066511

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

19018

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

N/A

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

N/A
[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ We face no challenges

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Our preferred approach is to use revenue to allocate emissions to customers. If there is a more accurate way to allocate emissions, Spectrum Brands would be willing to adopt a more precise or standardized methodology for emissions allocation.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate methodologies for allocating emissions to customers and adjust appropriately in the future.*

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☑ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

30938

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

30938.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

83088

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

83088.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

392

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

392.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

392

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

114026

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

114418.00
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

47

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Diesel, gasoline

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

30891

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Natural gas, propane

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

30938

(7.30.7.8) Comment

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

392

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

392

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

392

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

392

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :On-site generated solar

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

392

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ No instrument used

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:
☑ Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

On-site generated solar
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1450

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1450.00

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

714

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

714.00

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5.00

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1313

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1313.00

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

580

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

580.00

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4269

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4269.00

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

88

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

88.00

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

38

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

38.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.00

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Dominican Republic

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Ecuador

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

El Salvador

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

538

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

538.00

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

15.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5308

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

392

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5700.00

Guatemala

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

78

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

78.00

Honduras

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

162

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

162.00

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

31

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

31.00

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

538

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

538.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

78

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

78.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

329

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

329.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

919

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

919.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2354

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2354.00

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

68

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

68.00

Nicaragua

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Panama

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

131

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

131.00

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.00

Puerto Rico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Slovenia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

44

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

44.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2116

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2116.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

61865

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

61865.00
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.000016

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

48054

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

2963900000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

42

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Divestment

☑ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Spectrum Brands' FY24 GHG inventory was calculated following the strategic divestment of its former Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business in FY23. FY23 has not yet been adjusted. Revenue also decreased 25% from FY23 to FY24, largely due to the strategic divestiture of Spectrum Brands' former Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business.

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:
☑ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

2015540

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

KG

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

year

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

0

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.52.7) Please explain

Metric includes hazardous waste + non-hazardous waste – recycled, reused and recovered waste for FY2024.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ No target

(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years.

(7.53.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:
☑ We are planning to introduce a target in the next two years

(7.53.3.2) Five-year forecast

We anticipate our emissions will decrease over the next 5 years as result of investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency projects.

(7.53.3.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strategically divested its former Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business in June 2023, requiring a rebaseline and refresh of our emissions target. We are in the process of evaluating setting a Science Based Target, which will create a new emissions target. We anticipate our emissions will decrease over the next 5 years as result of investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency projects.
[Fixed row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	*1*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*0*	*0*
Implementation commenced	*2*	*144.5*
Implemented	*4*	*165.7*
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

137.5

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

35664

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

99416

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Installation of LED lights and motion sensors at Noblesville facilities

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

7

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

15385

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Switch of advertising lights on Melle building during night and other light initiatives

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes
☑ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

17.6

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

39600

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Biowasher: circulating pumps dynamic switch

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes
☑ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3.7

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

8745

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Reduce compressed air consumption during weekend
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Spectrum Brands constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Spectrum Brands constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Spectrum Brands constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

Select from:
☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:
☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☑ No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills from local utility

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawals are captured at 100% of our 108 operating facilities. We calculated a total of 617 ML withdrawn during the reporting period. The 18 facilities with primary water withdrawal data include our manufacturing and distribution facilities for our business units and corporate sites. Data is captured monthly at the meter-level from utility bills of facility tracking/metering system. For the remaining facilities, a withdrawal intensity metric of withdrawal per square foot was applied to estimate. Estimation is applied using withdrawal volume from similar operations as a proxy for facilities where water usage cannot be determined, as well as factors from the 2017 U.S. Energy Information Administration's (EIA) Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS). Two leased sites with data center services (not-owned by Spectrum Brands) were also included. To estimate water withdrawals for these facilities, electricity consumption and Water Usage Metric factors were used.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills from local utility

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawals by source are measured at 100% of our 108 operating facilities. For the 18 facilities where water withdrawal information is actively collected, withdrawal source is determined through utility bills or communication with the site. Frequency of monitoring is monthly. For the remaining facilities where water withdrawal is estimated, we assume the source is municipal water supply, unless communicated by the facility as other source of withdrawals. Calculations are derived from the company's own estimates. One estimation method uses withdrawal volume from similar/like operations as a proxy for facilities where water usage cannot be determined. Of our 108 operating facilities, one (1) location relied exclusively on a private well and one (1) other location relied on a combination of groundwater well and municipal supply, representing 19% of total withdrawals.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Risk Assessment

(9.2.4) Please explain

We have analyzed 100% of our facilities with the WWF Water Risk Filter. The Water Risk Filter has a metric called the Surface Water Contamination Index which we use as a guide to determine quality for all facilities that depend on municipal water. This index analyzes a broad suite of pollutants with well-documented direct or indirect negative effects on water resources (e.g. nitrogen/ phosphorous/ pesticide/ organic/ sediment/ mercury loading, soil salinization, potential acidification and thermal alteration). Of the total number of operational and supplier facilities, 95% had a high or very high-risk indicator for Surface Water Contamination index. All water withdrawn from municipal sources is monitored by the municipality to ensure compliance with federal and local quality standards. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Inventory

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water discharges are estimated at 100% of our 108 operating facilities. We calculated a total of 532 ML that was discharged during the reporting period. As we do not produce wastewater of an industrial nature requiring direct metering or permitting, we do not currently monitor the total volume of wastewater discharges. We have estimated that our water withdrawals are consumed or discharged to municipal treatment plants. For most of the facilities, estimated discharge volumes are

based on the calculation: Withdrawals - Discharge = Consumption. Our operating facilities are currently working to improve their record keeping of total water discharges in our environmental data management system. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Inventory

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water discharges by destination are estimated at 100% of our 108 operating facilities. For all facilities, it is assumed that discharge is to municipal/industrial treatment plants. We estimate that water withdrawals are consumed (e.g. landscaping, irrigation, cooling tower evaporation or consumed into products) or discharged to municipal treatment plants (water withdrawals = water consumption + water discharges). Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

"Volume by treatment method" refers to primary, secondary or tertiary treatment or pre-treatment/technology types before being returned to the environment. Since all operating facilities are assumed to discharge to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and since most municipal wastewater treatment facilities use primary, secondary, and sometimes tertiary levels of treatment, we have assumed secondary treatment for 100% of our water discharges. This estimate may be further refined in the future by following up with each municipal/industrial treatment plant to confirm treatment method. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

“Water discharge quality - by standard effluent parameters" is applicable to organizations that discharge effluents or process water. This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality is not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

“Water discharge quality - emissions to water" is applicable to organizations that discharge effluents or process water. This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality is not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

"Water discharge quality - by standard effluent parameters" is applicable to organizations that discharge effluents or process water. This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality was not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Inventory

(9.2.4) Please explain

*We estimate consumption for 100% of our facilities by calculating: Withdrawals - Discharge = Consumption. For sites where metered water data was not available, water consumption was determined at the facility level by multiplying water withdrawals * (consumptive use coefficient) listed by the United States Geological Survey. We estimate that water withdrawals are consumed (landscaping, irrigation or cooling tower evaporation) or discharged to municipal treatment plants (water withdrawals = water consumption + water discharges). We calculated that a total of 197 ML was consumed during the reporting period. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).*

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Meter

(9.2.4) Please explain

In FY24, one facility recycled or reused water internally. At this facility, all water leaving the buildings is directed to detention ponds and the majority is reclaimed. The facility can reclaim up to 140,000 gallons/day. The reclamation pump moves water into a large pond at the highest part of our facility. From that pond, water seeps into the ground, elevating the level of the surface aquifer and slowing down the drainage of our ponds with fish. The reclaimed water is filtered and treated with ozone to remove bacteria and parasites and to oxidize a substantial portion of dissolved organic material. Total recycled water represents 14% of total withdrawals in FY24.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facility janitors or cleaning contractors that are directed/monitored by facility managers.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We provide all workers at our facilities with access to a safe water supply, adequate sanitation, and hygiene.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

617

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Strategic divestiture

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Total water withdrawals have decreased -56% from 1,351 ML in FY23 to 617 ML in FY24, due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") and water efficiency projects. We predict lower water usage in our five-year forecast due to efficiency measures being taken, facility check-ins to discuss measures and progress, and goals to improve water efficiency 3% YoY.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

532

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Strategic Divestiture

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Total discharges significantly decreased 56% YOY from 1,154 ML to 532 ML due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") and water efficiency projects. We consider a change of +/- 10% to be 'about the same', and +/- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. We predict lower water usage in our five year forecast due to efficiency measures being taken, facility check-ins to discuss measures and progress, and goals to improve water efficiency 3% YoY.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

85

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Strategic divestiture

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Total decrease in consumption from 197 ML in FY23 to 85 ML in FY24 due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") and water efficiency projects. We consider a change of +/- 10% to be about the same, and +/- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. We predict lower water usage in our five year forecast due to efficiency measures being taken, facility check-ins to discuss measures and progress, and goals to improve water efficiency 3% YoY. [Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

350

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Withdrawals have decreased due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI"), which accounted for several facilities located in areas with water stress, in addition to water efficiency projects.

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

56.73

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

Total water withdrawn across all our direct operations from water stressed areas in FY23 was 39% (531.65 ML) which is a lower percentage share than our current reporting year (59%). FY24 saw a 34% decrease in overall withdrawal compared to FY23, with a withdrawal amount of 350 ML in FY24. For assessing withdrawal from areas of water stress, we used the WRI Aqueduct tool and considered all sites with "High" or "Extremely High" BWS risks (=>3 score). The withdrawal decrease is due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business and water efficiency projects. In addition, we will continue implementing water efficiency projects to further reduce our water consumption, particularly in areas that we identify as experiencing baseline water stress (and those we identify as being at risk). We predict lower water withdrawal in our 5-year forecast.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have fresh surface water withdrawals.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have brackish surface water/seawater withdrawals.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

271

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Decreased due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") and water efficiency projects.

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Renewable groundwater was used at two (2) of our 108 operating facilities. Our renewable groundwater resources have remained about the same since FY23, with an increase of about 4%, keeping our overall usage of renewable groundwater at about the same amount. For one facility, groundwater withdrawals are estimated,

whereas for the second facility, actual metered data is available. One of the sites uses a mix of groundwater and municipal water supply. We project that total groundwater withdrawals will remain about the same or will increase slightly in future years as the business continues to expand.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have produced/entrained water withdrawals.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have produced/entrained water withdrawals.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

346

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Decreased due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") and water efficiency projects.

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Our water withdrawal from third- party sources was 346ML in the FY24 reporting year. This is a 68% decrease from 1089.51 ML in the FY23 reporting year (much lower). This is attributed to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement ("HHI") business and water efficiency projects. Of our 108 operational locations, 18 facilities have municipal water withdrawal data captured at the meter level. For the remaining 90 facilities, we have assumed additional water withdrawal based on a withdrawal intensity metric per square foot, as well as factors from the 2017 U.S. Energy Information Administration's (EIA) Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS).
[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We do not have fresh surface water discharges.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We do not have brackish surface water/seawater discharges.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We do not have groundwater discharges.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

532

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Decreased due to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") and water efficiency projects.

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Our water discharge to third- party sources was 532 ML in the reporting year (FY24). This is a decrease of 56% compared to the previous reporting year (FY23). We consider a change of +/- 10% to be 'about the same', and +/- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. As discharge data is not currently captured at our facilities, all discharge data has been estimated. We have estimated discharges volume based on the calculation: Withdrawals - Discharge = Consumption. We attribute this change to reduced business activity and the implementation of water efficiency measures, with a significant impact resulting from the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI").
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

4

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

Of the 108 operational facilities that we included in our water risk assessment, four (4) facilities were identified to have a substantive water-related risks. These facilities represent 4% of our water withdrawals. To identify facilities exposed to water risks which are substantive for Spectrum Brands, we used a combination of water-related and business-criticality indicators. This included all facilities which showed a "high" or "extremely high" overall basin risk score (based on Aqueduct and Water Risk Filter tools), "high" or "extremely high" current or future water stress, have material water withdrawal volumes (water withdrawals > average across all our facilities) and sites that have a high business relevance based on revenue (EBITDA/SF > average). Applying these thresholds to all 108 facilities, 4 were identified to have a substantive impact on our business as they are located in regions of water-risk combined with a high-business relevance. Note that the facilities identified through this process, while posing significant water risks, do not meet the threshold of having a substantive effect on our organization as outlined in section 2.4, as they do not account for 15% or more of our EBITDA. Nonetheless, we do consider these risks important and use the results of the risk assessment to prioritize facilities in terms of water risk mitigation and efficiency measures.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

320

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- California Minor basin - Santa Ana

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.075499

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.235699

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.72

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11.72

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.2

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.2

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.52

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal, as well as consumption and discharge data are estimates. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

328

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

19.672659

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-99.164869

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.91

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

6.91

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

5.8

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

5.8

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.11

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal, as well as consumption and discharge data are estimates. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

16

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin - California, Minor basin - Santa Ana

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.055569

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.182538

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11.7

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.18

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.18

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.52

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal, as well as consumption and discharge data are estimates. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

29

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :United States, Missouri

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.089793

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-105.594339

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

38.47

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

38.47

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

33.47

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

33.47

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal is based on actual facility data, while consumption and discharge data are estimates. All water withdrawals are sourced from the local municipality. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.
[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water withdrawals are metered and measured by the providing municipality.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water withdrawals are received by third-party providers (municipalities) which meter and measure by the providing municipality. Of the two sites that utilize groundwater sources, one monitors withdrawals through on-site metering. Neither have received third-party verification of water withdrawals.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water withdrawals are received by third-party providers (municipalities). All water withdrawn from municipal sources is monitored by the municipality to ensure compliance with federal and local quality standards.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water discharges go to third-party providers (municipalities) which meter and monitor water discharges to ensure compliance with federal and local regulations.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because all water discharges are assumed to go to local municipalities.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because we do not pre-treat our water discharges as all water discharges are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality is usually not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality was not required. To our knowledge, we also do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because we only estimate our water consumption at this point.
[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☑ No, CDP supply chain members do not buy goods or services from facilities listed in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

2963000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

4802269.04

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

We anticipate water efficiency per dollar of revenue will increase. In June 2023 (FY23) there was the "Home and Hardware Improvement" (HHI) strategic business divestment which was a water-intensive operation. Further, we anticipate additional water efficiency measures to be implemented in the future, which align with our internal and external resource efficiency goals.
[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Annex XVII of EU REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to remove these substances (where able) from our products. Some products contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply where necessary. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percentage of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimation for associated revenue.

Row 2

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Annex XIV of UK REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to remove these substances (where able) from our products. Some products contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have

processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply where necessary. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percentage of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimation for associated revenue.

Row 3

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern (UK Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to remove these substances (where able) from our products. Some products contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply where necessary. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percentage of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimation for associated revenue.

Row 4

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Federal Water Pollution Control Act / Clean Water Act (United States Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to remove these substances (where able) from our products. Some products contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply where necessary. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percentage of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimation for associated revenue.
[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

Low water impact is defined as the minimal use of water resources during the lifecycle of a product or process.

(9.14.4) Please explain

At Spectrum Brands we are committed to creating sustainable products that reduce our environmental impacts, through design, sourcing, manufacturing, packaging, distribution and the lifecycle of the product. This includes designing products that consume less water, reducing plastics and packaging as well as removing hazardous substances from our products – which can have negative impacts on water resources and ecosystems. In the case of concentrated herbicides, this classification applies because they require significantly less water for dilution and application, thereby reducing overall water consumption compared to ready-to-use or pre-diluted formulations.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.*
Water withdrawals	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years	*This is not an immediate priority for Spectrum Brands but we anticipate creating a target in the next two years.*
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.*
Other	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]*

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Direct operations for which water data is available

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water use efficiency

☑ Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

10/01/2023

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

09/30/2023

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

1170338

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

09/30/2024

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

1135227

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

348005

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

2342

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ None, alignment not assessed

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Direct operations with primary water data

(9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

We've invested in water-related projects that have contributed to our goal of increasing water efficiency. Further, divisional leadership is tasked with implementing projects to reduce our water consumption. The Environmental Sustainability & Product Regulatory Specialist meets with site leadership and EHS managers on a biannual basis to discuss upcoming and active projects that are intended to increase water efficiency. In our past two fiscal years, we've implemented water-related projects that are targeted towards increasing water efficiency. These projects are pivotal in achieving our goal.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

We achieved our goal, with a 70.26% decrease when comparing the base year (FY23) to the target year (FY24). This target is not normalized for revenue. Please note that the large decrease can be attributed to the strategic divestiture of our former Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI"), but also due to the implementation of water efficiency projects. This goal was set without accounting for the HHI divestiture – future targets will be baselined for water usage to reflect post-divestment numbers. Please note that this target is only for Spectrum Brands sites with primary data. It does not include sites where data is estimated.
[Add row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☑ All data points in module 7

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

Climate change-related standards
☑ ISO 14064-3

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

The verification scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the emissions data reported for Scope 1, 2, and 3, Categories 1-7, for FY2024. The process is conducted annually.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

Spectrum Brands FY24 GHG Verification Statement v2.00 (2025-0929).pdf
[Add row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:
☑ General Counsel
[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:
☑ No