

Spectrum Brands Inc.

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

.

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP

Contents

C1. Introduction	7
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	7
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	7
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	7
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years	7
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?	8
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	8
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	8
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	. 10
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	. 11
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.	. 12
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	. 62
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	. 63
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities (2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environment dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	65 tal . 65
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	. 66
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	. 67
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities	. 67
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	. 74
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	. 74
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	. 75
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	. 77
C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities (3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	79

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	80
(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.	85
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?	86
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	87
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	87
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	ວ 88
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities	91
C4. Governance	92
(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	92
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	93
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details the board's oversight of environmental issues.	s of 93
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?	96
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?	97
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals)	97
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	100
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	101
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	101
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	104
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negativel impact the environment?	ly) 104
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?	106
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	106
C5. Business strategy	108
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	108
(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?	109
2	

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?	109
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy	
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	
(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?	117
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting yea for the next reporting year?	ar, and the anticipated trend 117
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?	
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and place.	the compliance measures in124
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.	
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engager	nent? 131
6 Environmental Performance - Concelidation Approach	100
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data	
7. Environmental performance - Climate Change	
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?	
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted remissions data?	for in this disclosure of 134
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	
(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported	d in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2? 135
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions	
(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within boundary which are not included in your disclosure?	n your selected reporting 136
(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tops CO2e2	136

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	137
(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	137
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	145
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.	146
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	147
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	149
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	151
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to previous year.	the 151
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions	figure? 152
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	152
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	152
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP)	152
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area	153
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	171
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	171
(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.	171
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	172
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	172
(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.	173
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response	173
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?	174
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period	174
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?	202
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	203
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	203
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	203
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	204

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.	
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-base figure reported in 7.7.	sed Scope 2 210
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	additional
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business	
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	
(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.	
(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years	
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?	
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implement phases	ation 243
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings	
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?	
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?	257
C9. Environmental performance - Water security. (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?	258
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?	
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year are they forecasted to change?	ar, and how 265
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is for change.	recasted to
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination	

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impara and opportunities?	cts, risks, 274
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year	275
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?	299
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?	302
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.	302
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	302
(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	303
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?	305
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?	306
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.	306
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.	307
C13. Further information & sign off	310

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a	
third party?)
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?)
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response	
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website	I

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

✓ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

🗹 USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. (NYSE: SPB; "Spectrum Brands"; "SBH" or the "Company") is a leading global branded consumer products and home essentials company focused on driving innovation and providing exceptional customer service. Spectrum Brands, a member of the Russell 1000 Index, is a leading supplier of shaving and grooming products, personal care products, small household appliances, specialty pet supplies, household cleaning products, lawn, garden and home pest control products, and personal insect repellents. Helping to meet the needs of consumers worldwide, our Company offers a broad portfolio of market-leading, well-known and widely trusted brands. Based in Middleton, Wisconsin, SBH generated net sales from continuing operations of approximately 3.961 billion in fiscal year 2023. On June 20, 2023, SBH announced the closing of the previously announced sale of the Company's Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") to ASSA ABLOY. Please note that the following responses address our 2023 fiscal year: which commenced October 1, 2022 and ended on September 30, 2023. [Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
09/30/2023	Select from: ✓ Yes	Select from: ✓ No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

3961000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
Select from: ✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

🗹 No

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

🗹 No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

84790A105

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

✓ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SPB

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

🗹 No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

🗹 No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

🗹 No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from: ✓ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

✓ Peru	🗹 Spain
✓ Chile	🗹 Canada
✓ China	✓ France
✓ Italy	✓ Mexico
✓ Japan	🗹 Panama
✓ Poland	🗹 Czechia
✓ Sweden	🗹 Denmark

✓ Turkey	Ecuador
✓ Austria	✓ Finland
✓ Belgium	🗹 Germany
✓ Hungary	Honduras
✓ Ireland	🗹 Portugal
✓ Romania	Slovenia
✓ Bulgaria	🗹 Argentina
✓ Colombia	🗹 Australia
✓ Guatemala	Netherlands
✓ Nicaragua	🗹 New Zealand
✓ Singapore	Philippines
✓ Costa Rica	Puerto Rico
✓ El Salvador	🗹 Taiwan, China
🗹 Dominican Republic	
🗹 Hong Kong SAR, China	

- ✓ United States of America
- ☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

Select from:

✓ Yes, for all facilities

(1.8.2) Comment

All facility data is accounted for including owned and leased sites. Coordinates are determined by converting addresses of facilities to longitude and latitudes. [Fixed row]

Puerto Rico Taiwan, China (1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier
1-Middleton, United States
(1.8.1.2) Latitude
43.10557
(1.8.1.3) Longitude
-89.518323
Row 2
(1.8.1.1) Identifier
2-Bentonville, United States
(1.8.1.2) Latitude
36.340168
(1.8.1.3) Longitude
-94.208755
Row 3
(1.8.1.1) Identifier
16-Redlands, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.075499

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.235699

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

28-Earth City, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.760012

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.457075

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

29-Vinita Park, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.691401

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.342615

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

30-Vinita Park, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.691401

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.340975

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

35-Blacksburg, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.19559

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.393106

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

36-Blacksburg, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.194447

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.3918

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

37-St. Louis, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.757912

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.447867

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

38-Noblesville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.09089

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.951947

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

39-Edwardsville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.796524

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.080557

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

40-Mississauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.638908

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.70916

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

45-Eastvale, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.019669

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.556372

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

56-Wombourne, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.524085

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.209484

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

57-Wyomissing, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.354059

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.989262

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

58-Lake Forest, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.675143

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.65613

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

60-Denison, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.783996

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.553665

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

61-Denison, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.764187

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.555177

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

64-Charlotte, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.096639

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.954499

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

65-Nogales, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.256343

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-110.95866

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

66-Mexicali, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.64772

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-115.412525

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

67-Elkhart, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.729172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.991433

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

68-Grand Prairie, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.775355

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.05095

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

69-Homewood, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.445085

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.845254

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

70-Lititz, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.120043

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.311504

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

77-Xiamen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.46879

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

118.02819

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

80-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.828085

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.296297

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

81-Minxiong Township, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.526594

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.455609

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

82-Minxiong Township, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.525552

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.454507

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

83-Zhongshan Dist., Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.055314

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.522496

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

85-Mentone, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-37.978611

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

145.1083

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

88-Penrose, New Zealand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-36.919978

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

174.820816

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

91-Manchester, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.509266

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.161468

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

114-El Dorado, Panama

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

9.011616

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.534381

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

119-Yokohama, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.453735

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.614063

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

120-Melle, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.201898

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.349271

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

121-Melle, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.19374

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.37335

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

129-Ceska Lipa, Czech Republic

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.684492

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.537229

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

134-Ballymount, Dublin, Ireland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.307806

00.007000		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
-6.350228		
Row 40		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
138-Barcelona, Spain		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
41.401398		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
2.197751		
Row 41		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
142-Warszawa (Warsaw), Poland		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
52.213791		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		

20.968735

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

144-Budapest, Hungary

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.460247

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

145-Ljublijana, Slovenia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.075562

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.51372

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

147-Otopeni, Romania

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.541454

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

26.084501

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

152-Edgerton, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.771399

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.954781

Row 46

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

154-Zhongshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.672099

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.250897

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

158-Taichung City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.181524

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.686102

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

169-Riverview, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.843672

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.342864

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

187-Middleton, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.10557

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.518323

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

188-Elk Grove Village, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.001098

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.954863

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

189-Plano, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.064865

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-96.810701

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.296186

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.790927

Row 53

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

191-El Dorado, Panama

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

9.008881

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.53396

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

193-Naucalpan de Juárez, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.458799

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.254013

Row 55

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

195-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.831469

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.29314

Row 56

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

196-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.82893

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.296467

Row 57

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

198-Houston, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.862531

(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
-95.516793		
Row 58		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
199-Miramar, United States		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
25.980572		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
-80.339095		
Row 59		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
200-Chiayi City, Taiwan		

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.481027

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.425826

Row 60

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

207-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.836554

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.31857

Row 61

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

208-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.836554

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.31857

Row 62

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

214-Zhongshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude
22.62254

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.291616

Row 63

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

215-Zhongshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.62254

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.291616

Row 64

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

217-Zhongshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.672099

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.250897

Row 65

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

219-Zhongshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.672099

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.250897

Row 66

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

226-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.798997

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.328056

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

229-Mechelen, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.02118

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.481808

Row 68

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

230-Ballerup, Denmark

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.734636

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

12.389526

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

232-Sulzbach, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.12965

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.520854

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

237-Alcobendas, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.515504

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.655492

Row 71

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

239-Jacksonville Beach, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.254331

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.401014

Row 72

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

247-ShenZhen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.52291

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.05454

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

248-Utrecht, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.125803

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.043785

Row 74

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

249-Stockholm, Sweden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.329781

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

17.984759

Row 75

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

250-Melle, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.201898

(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
8.349271		
Row 76		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
260-ShenZhen, China		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
22.53332		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
113.93041		
Row 77		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
264-ShenZhen, China		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
22.53332		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
113.93041		

Row 78

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

271-Bogota, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

4.685567

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.056488

Row 79

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

275-Nürnberg, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.402814

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.053611

Row 80

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

277-Guatemala, Guatemala

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.602062

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.510353

Row 81

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

278-Guatemala, Guatemala

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.602062

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.510353

Row 82

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

280-Vienna, Austria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.168288

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.345429

Row 83

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

282-Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.457691

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-69.934841

Row 84

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

283-San Salvador, El Salvador

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.678777

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-89.290086

Row 85

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

288-Vantaa, Finland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

60.294539

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

24.96456

Row 86

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

292-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.806658

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.314864

Row 87

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

293-Üsküdar, Istanbul, Turkey

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.000699

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

29.054453

Row 88

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

294-Mississauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.587303

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.732626

Row 89

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

295-Coevorden, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.646035

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.739471

Row 90

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

300-Utrecht, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.125872

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.043566

Row 91

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

301-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.824579

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.277752

Row 92

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

302-Olongapo City, Philippines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.803518

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.322906

Row 93

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

304-Sofia, Bulgaria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.741242

(1.8.1.3) Longitude
23.366766
Row 94
(1.8.1.1) Identifier
306-Borgholzhausen, Germany
(1.8.1.2) Latitude
52.080615
(1.8.1.3) Longitude
8.249518
Row 95
(1.8.1.1) Identifier
310-Yokkaichi, Japan
(1.8.1.2) Latitude
35.000949
(1.8.1.3) Longitude

136.671391

Row 96

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

311-ESCOBAR, Argentina

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-34.364458

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-58.785039

Row 97

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

312-Cali, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

3.503047

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.515569

Row 98

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

315-Las Palmas, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.09024

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.712891

Row 99

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

318-Dothan, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.256521

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-85.391139

Row 100

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

319-Edwardsville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.796524

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.080557

Row 101

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

320-Redlands, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.075499

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.235699

Row 102

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

325-Pingshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.70677

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.351863

Row 103

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

326-Nürnberg, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.405

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.062623

Row 104

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

327-Schwabach, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.339233

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.019759

Row 105

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

328-Cuautitlán, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.658263

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.176774

Row 106

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.831842

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.296475

Row 107

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

338-Basiglio (Milan), Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.448172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.1582

Row 108

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

340-Fairfield, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.885511

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.282803

Row 109

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

341-Reno, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.648911

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-119.900051

Row 110

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

344-Meriden, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.512806

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.764178

Row 111

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

346-Sofia, Bulgaria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.670209

(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
23.351255		
Row 112		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
347-Puteaux, France		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
48.892022		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
2.233514		
Row 113		
(1.8.1.1) Identifier		
348-Puteaux, France		
(1.8.1.2) Latitude		
48.892022		
(1.8.1.3) Longitude		
2.233514		

Row 114

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

349-Xiamen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.490614

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

118.081493

Row 115

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

350-Pontoon Beach, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.754414

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.05961

Row 116

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

351-Moorpark, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.279809

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.898687

Row 117

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

352-New Britain, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.666005

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.811688

Row 118

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

353-Singapore, Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.296761

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.854607

Row 119

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

354-Mentone, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude	
-37.978611	
(1.8.1.3) Longitude	
145.1083	
Row 120	
(1.8.1.1) Identifier	
(1.8.1.1) Identifier 355-Chiayi County, Taiwan	
(1.8.1.1) Identifier 355-Chiayi County, Taiwan (1.8.1.2) Latitude	

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.431402

Row 121

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

356-Edwardsville, United States

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.769308

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.073581

Row 122

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

358-Barcelona, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.401398

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.197751

Row 123

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

359-Singapore, Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.296761

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.854607

Row 124

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.818736

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.283482

Row 125

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

363-Tegucigalpa, Honduras

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.065049

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.1715

Row 126

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

364-Zhongshan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.67626

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.39577

Row 127

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

369-Chiayi City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.483741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.437449

Row 128

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

370-Vicente Lopez, Argentina

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-34.52496

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-58.472224

Row 129

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

371-Mechelen, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.02118

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.481808

Row 130

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

375-Wombourne, United Kingdom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.524085

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.209484 [Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

✓ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

✓ Tier 3 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Spectrum Brands has implemented a robust supply chain mapping process encompassing its Global Pet Care, Home Personal Care, and Home & Garden divisions (as previously noted in June 2023, Spectrum Brands sold its HHI division). This collaborative initiative, involving Procurement and Sustainability teams, systematically gathers comprehensive data on tier 1, 2, and 3 suppliers. Key information collected includes supplier contact details, associated SKUs, procurement expenditure, and product specifications. To ensure data accuracy, a process of email, survey, and follow-up verification is employed. While comprehensive mapping of tier 1 suppliers has been achieved, the company maintains a focus on expanding coverage for tiers 2 and 3. Spectrum Brands incorporates metrics related to its environmental, social, and governance (ESG) into the mapping process, including emissions, water usage, labor practices, and health and safety performance. A data privacy and security framework safeguards supplier and personally identifiable information. Through annual data analysis, Spectrum Brands regularly updates its mapping methodology, enabling a deeper understanding of the supply chain and identifying opportunities for improvement. [Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

✓ Not an immediate strategic priority

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

The topic of plastics in our value chain, products, and packaging is a top priority for us, as we continue to make advancements in sustainable materials and packaging for our products, globally. We recognize the importance of addressing plastic waste in our global supply chain, and we are taking concerted action to address plastic in our products. This is an ongoing project because mapping the entire value chain for plastics produced, commercialized, used, and disposed of is a complex undertaking that requires significant resources and expertise.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)	
0	
(2.1.3) To (years)	

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The time horizon is primarily associated with our annual budgeting process and includes: (i) near-term expectations that are derived from current actions that can influence near term results; or (ii) strategic initiatives that can be actioned within a short-term time frame with near-term results.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)	

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

It can be a challenge to predict strategic and/or financial planning in the medium-term. An indicator for medium-term planning includes our consideration of the medium-term growth rate in perpetuity consistent with inflationary rates.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long-term planning is influenced by a consideration of a terminal value and is not projected to any substantial degree. Like medium-term planning, consideration of the long-term growth rate in perpetuity consistent with inflationary rates is often used for long-term planning. [Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
Select from: ✓ Yes	Select from: Select from: South dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
Select from: ✓ Yes	Select from: Both risks and opportunities 	Select from: ✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ✓ Dependencies
- Impacts
- ✓ Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ✓ Direct operations
- ✓ Upstream value chain
- ✓ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

✓ Tier 1 suppliers

✓ Tier 2 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

✓ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

✓ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

✓ Short-term

✓ Medium-term

✓ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

✓ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- ✓ COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework
- ✓ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ✓ Desk-based research
- ✓ External consultants
- ✓ Internal company methods

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ✓ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)
- ✓ Tornado

Chronic physical

- Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)

Policy

✓ Changes to national legislation

Market

✓ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Technology

✓ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

✓ Customers

Employees

Investors

✓ NGOs

☑ Other, please specify :Local communities including indigenous people as applicable

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

✓ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

At the corporate level, Spectrum Brands has an annual risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks and opportunities to the Company that could have a strategic impact. Identified risks that have the potential to be material are disclosed on Spectrum Brands' public reports to the Securities and Exchange

Commission (SEC) and include statements associated with climate change risk. As part of those public disclosures, and related to climate change related risks, the company's employees identify the risks associated with energy and water resource scarcity and extreme weather occurrences that have potential to disrupt operational and/or supply chain performance and impact product sales. Our facilities face various operational risks associated with chemical handling and manufacturing. These include leaks, explosions, fires, natural disasters, equipment failures, supply chain disruptions, and environmental hazards. These risks could damage property, disrupt production, and cause environmental contamination. Divisional leadership, with support and guidance from the Corporate Environmental, Health & Safety and Legal teams, is responsible for managing these risks, which may be more pronounced in areas prone to extreme weather. Downstream risks, such as emerging regulations and reputational concerns, can have an impact on our stakeholders – in particular, our customers. Our product teams evaluate downstream impacts and strive to develop more innovative products, eliminate superfluous chemicals, and improve cradle-to-grave management of products and packaging. Our approach focuses on both ensuring regulatory compliance and conformity with emerging customer and consumer requirements. The materiality risk assessment process also includes prioritization on addressing the risks that offer the greatest business harm or liability, with consideration for short, mid and longterm time horizons. For the purposes of Spectrum Brands' global Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM") process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event or a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy. Risk in the supply chain is addressed by a sourcing risk management framework and includes assessing a supplier's ability to perform in terms of quality, delivery, time horizon and sustainability issues. This framework includes identifying the potential size and scope of the risks on the supply chain. Spectrum Brands applies the sourcing risk management framework to the product design functions at the company, which will include product specific sustainability topics, such as resource scarcity, and continuity of available resources necessary for production of our finished goods. Emissions intensity of products is also considered as part of the framework. The global sourcing risk management approach is implemented at the division level and used to assess suppliers' performance and risk, which may vary during supplier initiation, maintenance, renewal and interim changes through and to contract end. Elements of performance and risk included in the approach may include: financial, guality, EH&S, sustainability, and the regulatory license to operate and distribute the product.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

✓ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

✓ Risks

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply
✓ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

🗹 Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

✓ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

Every two years

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

✓ Short-term

Medium-term

✓ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

✓ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

WRI Aqueduct

✓ WWF Water Risk Filter

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

- ✓ Groundwater depletion
- ✓ Sea level rise
- ✓ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ✓ Water stress
- ✓ Water quality at a basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

Employees

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

🗹 No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

At Spectrum Brands we perform a water risk assessment annually of all our direct operations to identify, assess, and prioritize water-related dependencies and risks and share updates with our management and site managers to assist with risk governance and management. In order to assess basin dependencies and risk exposure of SBH's direct operations, we use the World Resources Institute (WRI) Aqueduct and World Wildlife Fund (WWF) Risk Filter tools. To identify risks, we use several filters based on the two mentioned tools, including all sites with high or extremely high overall basin water risk, high or extremely high current baseline water stress (Aqueduct baseline water stress or WWF water depletion) or future water stress (based on Aqueduct's business as usual 2030 and 2050 scenarios). We combine these basin-related risks with the following business criticality factors: operational sites with material water withdrawal (water withdrawals greater than average) and sites with high revenues (EBITDA/income per SF greater than average). [Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

🗹 No

(2.2.7.3) Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

Select from:

✓ Other, please specify :None related to our organization have been identified as substantial to the extent that an assessment of the interconnections is necessary.

(2.2.7.4) Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

No dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities related to our organization have been identified to be substantial, in terms of how we calculate risks. If we are made aware of an event or a material, tangible risk, an assessment will be carried out. [Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

✓ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

To prioritize site locations, the water risk assessment analyzes sites using three different filters. The first layer applies two filters to identify sites where the river basin has either: (a) "high" or "extremely high" overall basin risk exposure or; (b) "high" or "extremely high" risk exposure to current water stress or potential future water stress scenarios (for BAU 2030 or 2050). Both the mentioned exposures are based on Aqueduct and Water Risk Filter tools. The second layer of filtering identifies sites where: (a) site withdrawals are "material" (greater than average withdrawal volumes); and (b) sites with high revenues (EBITDA/SQFT greater than average EBITDA/SQFT).

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

✓ Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

(2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

Spectrum 2024 - at risk facilities site location.xlsx [Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

✓ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

✓ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

✓ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply ✓ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands global Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

✓ Qualitative

✓ Quantitative

Select from:

✓ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

✓ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

✓ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

✓ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands evaluation of opportunities, we define opportunities that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy. [Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

(2.5.3) Please explain

We are committed to complying with all national and local regulations at our operational sites. Most of our wastewater is managed and treated by third-party providers, such as local utilities, in strict accordance with these regulations. As a result of these local compliance activities and providers, we currently do not have a company-wide system in place to identify and classify potential water pollutants. [Fixed row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

✓ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

I Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands global ERM process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy. We also perform a water risk assessment annually on all of SBH's direct operations to identify, assess, and prioritize water-related dependencies and risks and share updates with our management and site managers to assist with risk governance and management. In order to assess basin dependencies and risk exposure of SBH's direct operations, we use the WRI Aqueduct and WWF Risk Filter tools. To identify risks, we use several filters based on the two mentioned tools, including all sites identified as having high or extremely high overall basin water risk, high or extremely high current baseline water stress (Aqueduct baseline water stress or WRF water depletion) or future water stress (based on Aqueduct's business as usual 2030 and 2050 scenarios). Once those risks are identified, we combine them with the following business criticality factors: (i) operational sites with material water withdrawal (water withdrawals greater than average); and (ii) sites with high revenues (EBITDA/income per SF greater than average). While none of our facilities meet the threshold of 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level (i.e., 15% EBITDA), we have identified seven (7) high priority facilities in terms of our water risk mitigation efforts. [Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

✓ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

Pollution incident

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

Chile

🗹 China

🗹 Italy

Spain
Canada
France
Mexico 80

✓ Japan	✓ Poland
✓ Sweden	✓ Denmark
✓ Turkey	✓ Ecuador
✓ Austria	✓ Finland
✓ Belgium	✓ Germany
✓ Czechia	✓ Hungary
✓ Ireland	✓ Portugal
✓ Romania	✓ Slovenia
✓ Bulgaria	✓ Argentina
✓ Colombia	✓ Australia
✓ Honduras	✓ Singapore
✓ Netherlands	✓ Hong Kong SAR, China
✓ New Zealand	✓ United States of America
✓ Philippines	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
🗹 Taiwan. China	

☑ Dominican Republic

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

SPB relies on a network of upstream suppliers for critical input materials, production support and services. These suppliers produce air emissions like Sox, Nox and HPS from their day-to-day operations. If air emissions are not properly managed, SPB suppliers may face potential penalties and fines. If SPB is unable to secure an alternative supplier, such penalties could impact SPB through potential increased production costs and a disrupted supply chain in the event a supplier is no longer permitted to produce.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

✓ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

✓ Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

🗹 Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are unable to calculate the anticipated effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization at this time. However, we would expect the effect to be nominal compared to other business risks.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

✓ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

✓ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Reputation

☑ Other reputation risk, please specify :Shifts in consumer preferences

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

✓ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

✓ Peru	✓ Spain
✓ Chile	✓ Canada
✓ China	✓ France
✓ Italy	✓ Mexico
✓ Japan	✓ Poland
✓ Sweden	✓ Denmark
✓ Turkey	✓ Ecuador
✓ Austria	✓ Finland
✓ Belgium	✓ Germany
✓ Czechia	✓ Hungary
✓ Ireland	✓ Portugal
✓ Romania	✓ Slovenia
✓ Bulgaria	✓ Argentina
✓ Colombia	✓ Australia
✓ Honduras	✓ Singapore

- ✓ Netherlands
- ✓ New Zealand
- ✓ Philippines
- ✓ Taiwan, China
- Dominican Republic

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Hong Kong SAR, China
 United States of America
 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

As consumers are anticipated to support brands with strong environmental credentials, we expect to further enhance our already strong commitment to the environment and sustainability; particularly, in light of new or increased regulations and customer/consumer demands that could cause us to make changes to the products we offer and operations we manage. Further, enhancing our commitment to the environment and sustainability is anticipated to incur positive reputational impacts.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

✓ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

✓ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

🗹 Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are unable to calculate the anticipated effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization at this time. However, we would expect the effect to be nominal compared to other business risks.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

🗹 No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

✓ Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We cannot provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time. [Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

🗹 Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

We have estimated the financial metric vulnerable to physical risks through an estimation 0.5% of total revenue per reporting year. The figure provided is an estimation and should not be considered an exact amount. [Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

(3.3.1) Water-related regulatory violations

Select from:

(3.3.2) Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties

Select all that apply

☑ Enforcement orders or other penalties but none that are considered as significant

(3.3.3) Comment

In the reporting year, we recorded two water-related regulatory notices of alleged violations at two of our US manufacturing facilities. One facility received a notice of violation from local authorities as samples of wastewater discharged were found to have certain chemical compounds at elevated levels. The second site received a Biological Oxygen Demand violation notification. In both cases, site managers collaborated with local authorities and implemented corrective measures. No fines or other major penalties were issued. [Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

🗹 No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☑ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands determines substantive effect based on whether the opportunity is greater than 15% impact on EBITDA. Based on our current evaluation, no water related opportunities meet the 15% EBITDA threshold. [Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Increased efficiency of production and/or distribution processes

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- Germany
- ✓ Philippines
- 🗹 Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Spectrum Brands has identified an opportunity to realize reduced operating costs via the implementation of resource efficiency (energy) projects in our owned facilities. Such projects have the added benefit of reducing our greenhouse gas emissions and improving our operational resiliency. We expect to save over 126,000 in operating costs annually from resource efficiency projects implemented in the previous two reporting years, with 72,000 coming from FY2023.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

✓ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

✓ Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We anticipate the implemented resource efficiency projects will result in a reduction of annual operational costs. While these savings will represent a moderate impact on the overall operational expenses of Spectrum Brands, they are quantifiable and noteworthy.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

✓ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

50000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

100000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The potential financial impact figures represent anticipated annual reductions in operating costs realized via annual resource efficiency projects. The cost range represents the lower and upper bounds of annual cost savings Spectrum Brands has achieved via resource efficiency projects implemented over the past two reporting years. Where project information was unavailable, costs were estimated using past projects that had similarities in estimated CO2 savings, monetary investment, and anticipated payback period.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

75845

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost to realize the opportunity represents the capital investment required in resource efficiency projects for a given year. The cost is calculated as the average capital investment Spectrum Brands has committed to implement resource efficiency projects over the past two reporting years. Where project information was unavailable, costs were estimated using past projects that had similarities in estimated CO2 savings, monetary investment, and anticipated payback period. Financial figures are calculated based on several factors, including the expected lifetime of the project and the monetary investment required.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

At the divisional level, our teams collaborated at least biennially with Senior Leadership regarding incoming energy and water efficiency opportunities and improvements and address requests to climate change related inquiries and ongoing projects. [Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric
Select from: ☑ Revenue
(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in

20000000

1.Z)

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

✓ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

We have estimated the financial metric vulnerable to physical opportunities through an estimation of approximately 0.5% of total revenue per reporting year. The figure provided is an estimation and should not be considered an exact amount. [Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

✓ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

✓ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

✓ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Our Board Diversity Policy sets out the basic principles to be followed to ensure that the Board has the appropriate balance of skills, experience and diversity of perspectives necessary to enhance the effectiveness of the Board and to maintain the highest standards of corporate governance. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board (the "NCG Committee") has the primary responsibility for identifying individuals qualified to serve as Board members. Selection of Board candidates are based on a range of perspectives with reference to the Company's business model and specific needs, including, but not limited to, talents, skills and expertise, industry experience, professional experience, personal experience, gender, age, race, language, cultural background, educational background and other similar characteristics.

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ✓ Yes
Water	Select from: ✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Board-level committee

✓ General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

✓ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :(i) ESG Governance Policy; (ii) Corporate Governance Guidelines

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

✓ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

the risk management process

☑ Other, please specify :Reviewing and Guiding strategy Reviewing and guiding

- ✓ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- \blacksquare Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary ("General Counsel") provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets, measuring and managing the Company's energy use, and reporting out on our greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, our General Counsel may present to the Board the status of the Company's progress on Environmental, Health & Safety (EH&S) metrics and sustainability performance in our factories and/or products, such as packaging innovations and improvements, transportation efficiencies, factory energy and carbon emission reductions, waste and water recycling efforts and reductions.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

✓ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :(i) ESG Governance Policy; (ii) Corporate Governance Guidelines

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

✓ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

the risk management process

- ✓ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ✓ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- \blacksquare Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets, measuring and managing the Company's energy and water use, and reporting out on our greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, our General Counsel may present to the Board the status of the Company's progress on EH&S metrics and sustainability performance in our factories and/or products, such as packaging innovations and improvements, transportation efficiencies, factory energy and carbon emission reductions, waste and water recycling efforts and reductions. [Fixed row]

☑ Other, please specify :Reviewing and Guiding strategy Reviewing and guiding

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

✓ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

 \blacksquare Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

✓ Other, please specify :When discussing climate related issues with the Board, the Company provides relevant information to ensure that the Board members are able to make knowing and informed decisions and recommendations on these issues.

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

 \blacksquare Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

✓ Other, please specify :When discussing climate related issues with the Board, the Company provides relevant information to ensure that the Board members are able to make knowing and informed decisions and recommendations on these issues. *[Fixed row]*

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ✓ Yes
Water	Select from: ✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

 ${\ensuremath{\overline{\rm v}}}$ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
- ☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

✓ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our General Counsel has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team, our General Counsel acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on EH&S and Environmental Sustainability issues, including climate and environmental issues. In addition, our General Counsel oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the "Sustainability Team"). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our General Counsel who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board. Primary responsibility for climate-related issues is assigned to the General Counsel because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Our General Counsel is also charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review.

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ✓ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
- ☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our General Counsel has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team, our General Counsel acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on EH&S and Environmental Sustainability issues, including climate and environmental issues. In addition, our General Counsel oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the "Sustainability Team"). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our General Counsel who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board. Primary responsibility for climate-related issues is assigned to the General Counsel because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Our General Counsel is also charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review. [Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The proper and thoughtful operations, logistics, facilities, and supply chain management of environmental issues, including considerations related to water and climate change, is inherently a part of Spectrum Brands' short-term and long-term performance and thereby its compensation outcome. Poor operational and supply chain management of water and climate change-related issues would likely negatively impact our annual performance and, in turn, reduce incentive compensation.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The proper and thoughtful operations, logistics, facilities, and supply chain management of environmental issues, including considerations related to water and climate change, is inherently a part of Spectrum Brands' short-term and long-term performance and thereby its compensation outcome. Poor operational and supply chain management of water and climate change-related issues would likely negatively impact our annual performance and, in turn, reduce incentive compensation. [Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

Does your organization have any environmental policies?
Select from: ✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

✓ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

✓ Upstream value chain

✓ Downstream value chain

✓ Portfolio

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Spectrum Brands maintains a comprehensive Environmental Policy encompassing all global operations, subsidiaries, and affiliates. This policy ensures adherence to applicable EH&S regulations, resource allocation for EH&S initiatives, and the selection of responsible suppliers. By integrating sustainability metrics and continuous improvement practices, Spectrum Brands demonstrates its commitment to environmental and climate risks and opportunities.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

✓ Other environmental commitment, please specify :Minimize impact on the environment by assessing ways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, reduce hazardous and non-hazardous waste, increase recycling and reduce water use. We continue to evaluate renewable energy sources for our global operations.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

 \blacksquare No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

✓ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Environmental Policy_SPB.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

✓ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

✓ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Spectrum Brands maintains a comprehensive Environmental Policy encompassing all global operations, subsidiaries, and affiliates. This policy ensures adherence to applicable EH&S regulations, resource allocation for EH&S initiatives, and the selection of responsible suppliers. By integrating sustainability metrics and continuous improvement practices, Spectrum Brands demonstrates its commitment to environmental and water risks and opportunities.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Water-specific commitments

- ✓ Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes
- Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

 \blacksquare No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

✓ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Environmental Policy_SPB.pdf [Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?
Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

Vo, we have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

🗹 No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Spectrum Brands' engagement activities are consistent with our own internal goals. We have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment. Before information is communicated externally, the respective teams (communication and public relations, legal, and others) are engaged to ensure alignment and accuracy with internal commitments, goals, and strategy.

(4.11.9) Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select from:

✓ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.11.10) Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Spectrum Brands does not engage in these activities due to our focus being on manufacturing the best products that fit our customer's needs. We continuously monitor policies, laws, and regulations related to climate change and evaluate them for their impact on our products, activities, and business operations. [Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

✓ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

✓ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- ✓ Strategy
- ✓ Governance
- Emission targets
- ✓ Emissions figures
- ☑ Risks & Opportunities

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Pg. 24, 25, 26, 29, 30

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2023-CCR-Update (2).pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Reference the page and section of the attached report for more details on Spectrum Brands' Corporate Sustainability Report. [Add row]

Water accounting figures
 Other, please specify : Hazardous and Non-hazardous Waste
C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

✓ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Spectrum Brands does not currently utilize forward-looking scenario analyses associated with climate change to inform our strategy and financial planning; however, a forward-looking scenario analyses is underway. For example, we are working with our advisors to better understand the climate change risk and impact on our businesses.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

✓ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Spectrum Brands does not currently utilize forward-looking scenario analyses associated with water to understand the impact of water-related risks on our business; however, a forward-looking scenario analyses is underway. For example, we are working with our advisors to better understand the water risk and impact on our businesses.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

✓ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Spectrum Brands has not committed to a target aligned with a 1.5C world; however, the Company is in the process of developing a climate transition plan with the expectation that it will align with a 1.5C world. Our General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets and greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, Spectrum Brands has a risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks to the Company that could have a strategic impact, including climate-related risk. As we move forward with our climate related risks and opportunity analysis, we will continue to evaluate how Spectrum Brands will adapt to meet industry expectations regarding climate initiatives and carbon emissions. [Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

✓ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Products and services
- ✓ Upstream/downstream value chain
- Investment in R&D
- ✓ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

✓ Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: The potential for decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products, which may be caused by environmental risks or concerns, has influenced our approach to designing our products and making considerations within our sourcing, procurement, packaging engineering, and product development teams. These teams are encouraged to move beyond standard measures of providing products to improve the product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level products are typically reviewed quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design, materials of construction, and packaging from a sustainability perspective.

perspective. Our new product development process now has "Sustainability" included in the evaluation process. iii) Most Substantial Strategic Decisions to Date: We have adopted 100% EPS/PVC elimination for the UK and EU. In addition, we have begun utilizing post-consumer resins (PCR) in select bottles and packaging depending on regulatory requirements, structural requirements and quality expectations. Our packaging engineering team has made advancements in packaging material source reduction, reducing the amount of packaging material without compromising the quality and performance of our trusted products. For example, our Tetra brand has made substantial improvements in recyclability with the relaunch of our NutriEvolution, using 100% post-industrial recycled material (PIR) in the packaging and saving up to 520 tons of plastic annually. In addition to packaging improvements, we also regularly review our shipping methods to develop more sustainable processes, reducing the amount of corrugate needed to ship our products.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

🗹 Risks

✓ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: We have begun collecting GHG emission data from our Tier 1 supply chain (our Scope 3 emissions), particularly with those suppliers we are currently engaging in our Walmart THESIS efforts. *ii.* Strategy time horizon(s): At the corporate level, emissions and results from supplier surveys are collected and reviewed at least annually. *iii.* Most substantial strategic decisions to date include, among other items, for the past nine (9) years, we have invested in surveying our supply chain on various sustainability topics (e.g., GHG emissions, sustainable packaging, human rights etc.) to respond to the Sustainability Insight System (THESIS) platform. In 2023, we surveyed 479 (out of 700) unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers (surveys were not needed for 72 suppliers, missing contact information for 136 Tier 2-3 suppliers, and an additional 13 Tier 2-3 suppliers were not identified as being active in 2023). As a result of collecting and reporting on both internal and supply chain practices, Spectrum Brands saw sustained and material score improvements for 5 consecutive years and has maintained these positive THESIS score since. Notably, Spectrum Brands has outperformed competitors in the same industry by 37% and achieved full points on 23% of KPIs (Key Performance Indicators). Spectrum Brands performed particularly well on questions measuring manufacturing practices, greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety - product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency - use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals. In the next phase of our efforts to further enhance our THESIS score, Spectrum Brands will be taking a closer look at supplier engagement methods with the intention of streamlining and communicating expectations with the supply chain at a higher level.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

🗹 Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Shifts in consumer preferences have affected how we design, source, procure, and develop new products. We are encouraged to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. *ii)* Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed at least quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. New products are evaluated from a sustainability perspective during the new product design phase. *iii.* Most substantial strategic decisions to date: It is difficult to determine which decisions are the most substantial. However, some of the most substantial strategic decisions to date: It is difficult to determine which decisions are the most substantial. However, some of the most substantial strategic decisions to date include, among others, our Pfister brand has been a market leader in creating products that help customers use less water. One recent innovation, ThermoForce Technology, has been incorporated in select showerheads, using 40% less water than a standard 2.5 gallon/minute showerhead. Additionally, Pfister's EPA WaterSense certified faucets and showerheads are engineered to reduce water consumption by 30%. This helps households save up to 200 annually on their water bill.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

✓ Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: With dozens of corporate and manufacturing sites across the globe, we have top management support, skilled professional EH&S staff, and trained employees so that our operations run safely and comply with local, state, and federal regulations. Supported by our Company's EH&S Management System, which incorporates elements of the ISO 1400, our teams work continuously to identify opportunities to enhance our sustainability program, including through using less energy and natural resources, conserving water, and generating less waste. *ii)* Strategy time horizon(s): Operations are evaluated continuously throughout the fiscal year and aligned with company goals to reduce our carbon footprint (Scope 1 and 2 market-based emissions) by 3% per year on a per basis, normalized for revenue. *iii)* Our most substantial strategic decisions to date include, among other items: *(i)* in our past two fiscal years, we implemented 16 projects, saving over 514,000 kilowatt hours of energy and nearly 16 million gallons of water from ongoing water consumption reduction projects; *(ii)* improved factory generation and reduced energy consumption from compressed air and circulating pumps; *(iii)* switching off advertising lighting in our buildings when not in use; *(iv)* and projects focused on controlled water discharges, using rainwater in our RO system and fixing leaks. Our teams meet at least biennially with Senior Leadership to review and provide updates on incoming opportunities and improvements for energy and water efficiency.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type
Select all that apply
✓ Risks
✓ Opportunities
(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area
Select all that apply
✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: It is anticipated that consumer preference is trending to purchase products that use sustainable materials in the product and packaging and prioritize sustainability. Our product development and packaging engineering teams are encouraged to use sustainable materials and reduce waste when evaluating how to make our products meet consumer preferences. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level products are typically reviewed quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design, materials of construction, and packaging from a sustainability perspective. Our new product development process also has "Sustainability" included in the evaluation process. iii) Our most substantial strategic decisions to date: We believe our most substantial strategic decisions to date includes, among other items, in our Fiscal Year 2022 we filled nearly 5 million concentrate bottles for our pesticide and insecticide products. In result of using concentrates, we reduced the amount of water needed to manufacture the product, thereby decreasing the weight of the product, reducing packaging waste, and increasing transportation efficiency (fewer emissions from transport).

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

✓ Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: We have begun collecting GHG emission data from our Tier 1 supply chain (our Scope 3 emissions), particularly with those suppliers we are currently engaging in our Walmart THESIS efforts. *ii.* Strategy time horizon(s): At the corporate level, emissions and results from supplier surveys are collected and reviewed at least annually. *iii.* Most substantial strategic decisions to date: We believe our most substantial strategic decisions to date include, among other items, for the past nine (9) years, we have invested in surveying our supply chain on various sustainability topics (e.g., GHG emissions, sustainable packaging, human rights etc.) to respond to the Sustainability Insight System (THESIS) platform. In 2023, we surveyed 479 (out of 700) unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers (surveys were not needed for 72 suppliers, missing contact information for 136 Tier 2-3 suppliers, and an additional 13 Tier 2-3 suppliers were not identified as being active in 2023). As a result of collecting and reporting on both internal and supply chain practices, Spectrum Brands saw sustained and material score improvements for 5 consecutive years and has maintained these positive THESIS score since. Notably, Spectrum Brands has outperformed competitors in the same industry by 37% and achieved full points on 23% of KPIs (Key Performance Indicators). Spectrum Brands performed particularly well on questions measuring manufacturing practices, greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety - product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency - use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals. In the next phase of our efforts to further enhance our THESIS score, Spectrum Brands will be taking a closer look at supplier engagement methods with the intention of streamlining and communicating expectations with the supply chai

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

✓ Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Shifts in consumer preferences have affected how we design, source, procure, and develop new products; We are encouraged to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. New products are evaluated from a sustainability perspective during the new product design phase. *ii)* Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed at least quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. *iii.* Most substantial strategic decisions to date: We believe some of the most substantial strategic decisions to date include, among others, our Russell Hobbs brand has made substantial innovations in reducing the amount of energy used by its Satisfry Air Fryers. Compared to a conventional electric oven, five of our Russell Hobbs Air Fryers use an average of 48% less energy to cook the same amount of food. Each has received the Green Circle Certification for Energy Savings. These products help reduce consumer's energy usage and contribute to lower emissions.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

🗹 Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by water-related risks and opportunities: With operations located in areas of water stress, we have implemented several water efficiency and consumption reduction projects to reduce our water use. We understand that water plays a pivotal role in manufacturing our products, and that the over consumption of water has the potential to impact our communities. As such, we work diligently to identify projects to not only reduce our water use, but to reclaim and recycle water. *ii)* Strategy time horizon(s): Operations are evaluated continuously throughout the fiscal year and aligned with company goals to reduce our water usage by 3% per year on a per basis, normalized for revenue. *iii)* Our most substantial strategic decisions to date: It is difficult to determine which decisions are the most substantial. However, one of the most substantial strategic decisions to date includes, among others, our GloFish facility in Riverview, Florida reclaims up to 140,000 gallons of water per day. The reclamation pump moves water into a large pond at the highest point of this facility. From that pond, water seeps into the ground, elevating the level of the surface aquifer and slowing down the drainage of ponds with fish. The reclaimed water is filtered and treated with ozone to remove bacteria and parasites and to oxidize a portion of dissolved organic material. In addition, our facility in Mexicali, Mexico implemented a water consumption reduction project that controls water consumption in plating lines. It's estimated that this project reduces water consumption by over 12 million gallons of water annually. [Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

✓ Direct costs

✓ Indirect costs

✓ Capital expenditures

Capital allocation

Acquisitions and divestments

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

✓ Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Spectrum Brands has invested in energy and water usage reduction projects at our facilities to reduce our environmental impact and lower emissions. We anticipate these projects will lessen our environmental risks, which is reflected in our annual financial planning. [Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

Please explain
Spectrum Brands does not currently have the necessary information to quantify water-related capital expenditure.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

Spectrum Brands does not price environmental externalities due to the absence of mechanisms to internalize costs and the multitude of factors that can affect pricing calculations.

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: ✓ Yes	Select all that apply ✓ Climate change ✓ Water
Customers	Select from: ✓ Yes	Select all that apply ✓ Climate change ✓ Water
Investors and shareholders	Select from: ✓ Yes	Select all that apply ✓ Climate change ✓ Water
Other value chain stakeholders	Select from: ✓ Yes	Select all that apply ✓ Climate change ✓ Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

 \blacksquare Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Supplier Climate Related Targets

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Criteria for assessment is based on the supplier's disclosure of climate related goals and targets. Additionally, compliance with environmental-related regulations is another factor that's monitored to determine whether suppliers are mindful of impacts to the environment.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

479

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

 \blacksquare Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Supplier disclosure of water discharge quantity

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Criteria for assessment is based on the supplier's disclosure of water discharge quantity. Additionally, compliance with water-related regulations is another factor that's monitored to determine whether suppliers are mindful of impacts to the environment.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

✓ 76-99%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

479 [Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

✓ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

- ✓ Product lifecycle
- ✓ Product safety and compliance
- ✓ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Spectrum Brands evaluates suppliers on several criteria to ensure they meet our standards. Suppliers that meet or exceed expectations are prioritized over suppliers that do not. In addition, the decision to engage with suppliers is based on several factors beyond environmental issues.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

 \blacksquare Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ✓ Product safety and compliance
- Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Spectrum Brands evaluates suppliers on several criteria to ensure they meet our standards. Suppliers that meet or exceed expectations are prioritized over suppliers that do not. In addition, the decision to engage with suppliers is based on several factors beyond water issues. [Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

Ves, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

✓ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Although not explicitly included in the contract, all suppliers must agree to comply, and must comply, with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, as set forth in our Supplier Code of Conduct.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

Ves, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

✓ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Although not explicitly included in the contract, all suppliers must agree to comply, and must comply, with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, as set forth in our Supplier Code of Conduct. [Fixed row] (5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

Other, please specify :1. Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1 and 2) are not required from our suppliers but are strongly encouraged. 2. Water reduction and withdrawal is not required from our suppliers but is strongly encouraged.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

✓ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

None

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

✓ None

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- ☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- ✓ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We do not currently collect direct scope 3 emissions from our suppliers, as a spend based approach is used for these calculations. We anticipate collecting direct scope 3 emissions data from our suppliers in the next two years.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :- Water reduction and withdrawal is not required from our suppliers but is strongly encouraged.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

✓ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

√ 76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

✓ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

✓ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

The supplier self-assessment provides suppliers with the opportunity to provide Spectrum Brands with data related to their water reduction and withdrawal measurements. While this is not required from our suppliers, it is strongly encouraged. [Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

✓ Adaptation to climate change

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

- Collect water quality information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., discharge quality, pollution incidents, hazardous substances)
- Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

✓ Tier 1 suppliers

✓ Tier 2 suppliers

✓ Tier 3 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

✓ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

✓ None

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

14

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers who are part of the THESIS project. In 2023, we Surveyed 479 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our entire tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year. Our engagement with suppliers is undertaken to evaluate the risks and opportunities presented by our upstream relationships. Engagement success is measured by high response rates to supplier surveys, enabling us to provide responses with greater detail and accuracy regarding response to CDP and THESIS and thereby leading to increased transparency within the supply chain. As a result of collecting and reporting on both internal and supply chain practices, Spectrum Brands was able to maintain its positive company-wide average score as calculated by The Sustainability Consortium's THESIS. In general, we performed particularly well on questions regarding manufacturing, greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety - product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency - use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals. The Home and Personal Care Division's - Kitchen Appliances performance assessment scored the highest at 72% out of 100%. The intent of surveying suppliers is to go beyond collecting data to increasing awareness, which we facilitate by providing educational materials describing the importance of evaluating, improving and reporting on sustainability-related impacts. More specifically, Spectrum Brands has an inventory of actions the business has taken with suppliers based on results received from THESIS reporting. For example, THESIS collects data on the percentage of renewable energy that each supplier has deployed or is purchasing for their operation. SBH has used this information to identify key suppliers who have recently installed solar panels and collected pictorial proof as documentation of the suppliers' renewable energy pursuits. We intend to expand this direction collaboration with our supply base to strongly encourage and reward the deployment of renewable energy by our suppliers.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

🗹 No

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

✓ No other supplier engagement [Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- ☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- ☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

SBH reports to CDP, as well as the Walmart Sustainability Index (WMSI)/THESIS. Our strategy for prioritizing engagement is influenced by our customers' priorities, which prompts us to measure greenhouse gas emissions and other climate change impacts beyond our standard organizational reporting. On an annual basis, we also report to our retailer customers examples of Sustainability Projects our company is committed to and our performance. For example, we shared with one of our customers (Walmart) an annual summary of the past year's sustainability efforts in our supply chain, such as one factory who has converted their lighting to LEDs, reducing their overall electricity consumption, and improving lighting efficiency.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Success is measured by consistently improving sustainability performance across our product categories in the Walmart Sustainability Index/THESIS. Spectrum Brands continues to maintain a positive company-wide average score for reporting to THESIS. Notably, we have continued the trend of outperforming our competitors on our company-wide average score for the 9th straight year. In general, Spectrum Brands performed particularly well on manufacturing greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety - product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency - use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals KPIs.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- ☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- ☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

✓ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

SBH reports to CDP, as well as the Walmart Sustainability Index (WMSI)/THESIS. Our strategy for prioritizing engagement is influenced by our customers' priorities, which prompts us to measure climate change impacts, including water-related metrics, beyond our standard organizational reporting. On an annual basis, we also report to our retailer customers examples of Sustainability Projects our company is committed to and our performance.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Success is measured by consistently improving sustainability performance across our product categories in the Walmart Sustainability Index/THESIS. Spectrum Brands continues to maintain a positive company-wide average score for reporting to THESIS. Notably, we have continued the trend of outperforming our competitors on our company-wide average score for the 9th straight year. [Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate opportunities associated with implementing environmental initiatives identified through CDP supply chain member engagement and will evaluate on a year over year basis. [Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

The Greenhouse Gas (GHG) protocol is utilized to calculate scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions. The standardized framework ensures accurate and consistent reporting of our emissions data.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

✓ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Spectrum Brands has adopted an "operational control approach," including all global facilities over which we hold the operating license. By adopting an operational control approach to define the boundaries of its water inventory, Spectrum Brands has chosen to quantify and report water withdrawal, consumption, and discharge for operations directly under its control. *[Fixed row]*

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from: No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

✓ Yes, a divestment

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

On June 20th, 2023, SBH announced the closing of the previously announced sale of the Company's Hardware and Home Improvement business ("HHI") to ASSA ABLOY.

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

HHI was sold by SBH to ASSA ABLOY on June 20th, 2023. [Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
Select all that apply ✓ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

Base year recalculation	Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold	Past years' recalculation
Select from: No, because we do not have the data yet and plan to recalculate next year	N/A	Select from: ✓ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☑ Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019
- ☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- Interpreting the Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Agricultural Sector
- ☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
Select from: We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: ✓ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	SBH is reporting both a location-based and market- based Scope 2 figure.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

🗹 No

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24624

(7.6.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2) [Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

82470

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

85513

(7.7.4) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2) [Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1437644

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

11250

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

✓ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

24356

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

✓ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Scope 1 & 2 energy consumption-related emissions are multiplied by emission factors for fuel production and transmission & distribution losses.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

211383

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

✓ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4245

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

✓ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Waste stream data is collected at the Company's facilities and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions data is provided by waste management providers.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1757

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Mileage data is collected from sales employees and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption data is provided by vehicle owners.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

26721

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

✓ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Mileage data is calculated based on location data, work from home energy use is estimated. and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption or emissions data is provided by employees

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH products are finished goods and do not undergo further processing after point of sale.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Downstream leased assets
(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH does not own any assets leased to other entities.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

SBH does not operate any franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable for SBH.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable for SBH.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

✓ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable for SBH. [Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from:

Verification/assurance status
Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

✓ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

✓ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

✓ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY23 GHG Verification Statement v1.00 (2024-0925).pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100 [Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

✓ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

✓ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

✓ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

✓ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY23 GHG Verification Statement v1.00 (2024-0925).pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

✓ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

✓ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY23 GHG Verification Statement v1.00 (2024-0925).pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100 [Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply Scope 3: Capital goods

☑ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution

✓ Scope 3: Business travel

✓ Scope 3: Employee commuting

✓ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

✓ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

✓ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

✓ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

✓ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY23 GHG Verification Statement v1.00 (2024-0925).pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

page 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II)

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

✓ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

100 [Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

277

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.3

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Calculated from annual total of reduction initiatives reported in 7.55 [Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

✓ Location-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from: ☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

✓ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

✓ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

24283

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

✓ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

18

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from: ✓ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

✓ N20

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

19

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from: ✓ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) [Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

41.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

463

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

463

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

663.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

663.5

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

145.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2134

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2820

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

36.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.3

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1265.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8108.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8108.9

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.6

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.7

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.8

Dominican Republic

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Ecuador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)
0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
0
El Salvador
(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)
0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
0
Finland
(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.7

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60.5

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1619.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

268.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

555

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.4

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.5

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.3

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

35.7

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

158.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

158.3

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8146

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13337.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13337.5

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

69.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

724.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

989.6

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.1

Nicaragua

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.4

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3636.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12517.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12517.3

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

81

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

113.4

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.8

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.5

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

273.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

273.5

Slovenia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.1

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.3

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

746

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

746

Turkey

5.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

99.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

99

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

113.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

376.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

665.4

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9466.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42276

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

43681 [Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

✓ By business division

✓ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	Corporate	96
Row 2	H&G	2175
Row 3	GPC	3114
Row 4	ННІ	17632
Row 5	HPC	1607

[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Refrigerant gasses	304
Row 2	Natural gas	20676
Row 3	Gaseous fuel activities	3637
Row 4	Liquid fuel activities	7

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

✓ By business division

✓ By activity

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Corporate	3652	3673
Row 2	H&G	10084	10175
Row 3	GPC	14893	15481
Row 7	ННІ	43296	44488
Row 10	HPC	10545	11696

[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Electricity	82470	85513

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24624

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

82470

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

85513

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions are associated with the consolidated accounting group.

All other entities

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions are associated with the consolidated accounting group. [Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

🗹 No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

61583235

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

383

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

291224784

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1810

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

568973748

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

3537

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 4

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38471593

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 5

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member
(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

165

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 6

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

3850949

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

24

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 7

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

61583235

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1282

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 8

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

291224784

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

6063

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 9

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

 \blacksquare Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

568973748

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

11846

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 10

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

 \blacksquare Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38471593

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

801

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 11

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

26474666

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

551

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 12

(7.26.1) Requesting member

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

3850948

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

80

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

61583235

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1329

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 14

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

291224784

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 15

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

12283

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 16

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38471593

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

831

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 17

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

26474666

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

572

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 18

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

 \blacksquare Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

3850949

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

83

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 19

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ✓ Category 2: Capital goods
- ✓ Category 6: Business travel
- ✓ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ✓ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ✓ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

61583235

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

26700

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

✓ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ✓ Category 2: Capital goods
- ✓ Category 6: Business travel
- ✓ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ✓ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ✓ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

- ✓ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

291224784

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

126265

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

✓ No

Row 21

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ✓ Category 2: Capital goods
- ✓ Category 6: Business travel
- ✓ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ✓ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ✓ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

- ☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ✓ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

568973748

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

246688

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 22

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ✓ Category 2: Capital goods
- ✓ Category 6: Business travel
- ✓ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ✓ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ✓ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38471593

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

16680

- ☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ✓ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 23

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ✓ Category 2: Capital goods
- ✓ Category 6: Business travel
- ✓ Category 7: Employee commuting
- ✓ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
- ✓ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

- ☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ✓ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

26474666

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

11479

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

🗹 No

Row 24

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

✓ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ✓ Category 2: Capital goods
- ✓ Category 6: Business travel
- Category 7: Employee commuting

- ✓ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ✓ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

✓ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

✓ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

✓ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

 \blacksquare Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

✓ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

3850949

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1670

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from: No

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

✓ We face no challenges

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Our preferred approach is to use revenue to allocate emissions to customers. If there is a more accurate way to allocate emissions, Spectrum Brands would be willing to adopt a more precise or standardized methodology for emissions allocation. [Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
Select from: ✓ Yes	Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate methodologies for allocating emissions to customers and adjust appropriately in the future.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

✓ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: ✓ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: ✓ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: ✓ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: ✓ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: ✓ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: ✓ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

130910

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

130910

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

343

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

165380

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

165722

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

343

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

296289

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

296633

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: ✓ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: ✓ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: ✓ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: ✓ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: ✓ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

130884

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

✓ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

130910

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a [Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or nearzero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

✓ Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Default delivered electricity from the grid (e.g. standard product offering by an energy supplier) from a grid that is 95% or more low-carbon and where there is no mechanism for specifically allocating low-carbon electricity

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

✓ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

✓ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

342.6

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

✓ Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

🗹 No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Spectrum Brand receives 100% renewable energy for their Melle, Germany facility, provided by DREWAG, the local utility. [Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1497.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1497.40

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

839.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

839.80

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.40

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.10

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5202

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1345.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1345.30

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13240.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

13240.80

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

337.20

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

0.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)
19
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)
19.00
Denmark
(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)
6.8
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6.80

Dominican Republic

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.80

Ecuador

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

El Salvador

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.10

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14.50

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

472.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

472.60

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1149

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1149.00

Guatemala

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

89.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

89.20

Honduras

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

27.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

27.10

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

30.80

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

77.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

77.50

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

340

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

340.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32725

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

32725.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2498.00

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

67.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

67.60

Nicaragua

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)
0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)
0.00
Panama
(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)
32.2
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

32.20

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17615.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17615.50

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

130.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

130.90

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Puerto Rico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

36.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

36.20

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

16.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

16.40

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

713.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

713.50

Slovenia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5.70

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

40.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

41.90

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25.20

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1306

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1306.00

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

236

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

236.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1817.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1817.40

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

83722

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

83722.00 [Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.000028

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

110137

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

✓ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

3961000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

29.47

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

✓ Divestment

✓ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

A 1.45% increase in Scope 1 and 2 emissions along with a 20.92% decrease in annual revenue resulted in a 29.47% increase in emission intensity. [Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

	Description	Metric numerator	Metric denominator (intensity metric only)
Row 1	Select from: ✓ Waste	Rich text input [must be under 50 characters]	Rich text input [must be under 50 characters]
Row 3	Select from: ✓ Other, please specify :water	Gallons of water	year

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

🗹 No target

(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Int 1

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

 \blacksquare No, but we anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

01/01/2017

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

✓ Organization-wide

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

✓ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

✓ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 1

✓ Scope 2

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

✓ Metric tons CO2e per unit revenue

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

09/30/2017

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0000598000

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.0000000000 [Add row]

(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years.

(7.53.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☑ We are planning to introduce a target in the next two years

(7.53.3.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands divested its HHI business in June 2023, requiring a rebaseline and refresh of our emissions target. We are in the process of establishing a Science Based Target, which will create a new emissions target. We anticipate our emissions will decrease over the next 5 years as result of investment in renewable energy and energy efficiency projects. [Fixed row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply ✓ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from: ✓ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	0	`Numeric input
To be implemented	2	58.39
Implementation commenced	4	136.95
Implemented	10	81.97
Not to be implemented	0	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

✓ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

6.23

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1643

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Switching time control: 30HP air compressor is not turned on from 12:00-13:00, supplied with 50HP

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

✓ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

21.9

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

5774

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Switching time control: 7:30-7:50, no air-conditioning, 12:00-13:00, 16:30-17:00, reduce the amount of air-conditioning in each area

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

34.42

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Zinc die-casting equipment remove

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

108.81

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

28685

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Conversion of Building Lighting(T5) to LED

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Waste reduction and material circularity

✓ Waste reduction

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0.01

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

56000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

QR code implementation to eliminate instruction sheet

Row 8

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

✓ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

20.53

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

5995

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Conversion of Building Lighting to LED

Row 9

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

16.58

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)
(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ <1 year</p>

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Biowasher: circulating pumps dynamic switch

Row 10

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

✓ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0.33

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

8250

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ <1 year</p>

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

✓ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Deactivation cooling systems in offices and Care area

Row 11

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3.51

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

✓ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

8745

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

✓ <1 year</p>

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

✓ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Reduce compressed air consumption during weekend

Row 12

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

✓ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

6.6

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

✓ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

15385

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ 3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Switch of advertising lights on our building during night and other light initiatives [Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

SBH constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

✓ Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

SBH constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

✓ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

SBH constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements. [Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

✓ No

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

🗹 No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

🗹 No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals - total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

✓ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills from local utility

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawals were fully captured at all 130 owned and leased operating facilities, totaling 1351 ML during the reporting period. The 45 facilities with primary water withdrawal data include manufacturing and distribution sites for HHI, HPC, GPC, H&G, and corporate facilities. Water data is collected monthly from utility bills or tracking systems. For the remaining facilities, a withdrawal per SF metric was used to estimate withdrawals, applying data from similar operations and the 2017 U.S. Energy Information Administration's Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS). Our global portfolio covers owned or leased buildings where operations occur. Three leased data center sites are also included, estimating their water withdrawals via electricity consumption and Water Usage Effectiveness (WUE) metrics.

Water withdrawals - volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills from local utility

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawals by source are measured at all 130 owned and leased operating facilities. For 45 facilities, sources are determined through utility bills or site communication, with monthly monitoring. For the remaining facilities where water withdrawal is estimated, we assume the source is municipal water supply, unless otherwise communicated by the site. Calculations are derived from the company's own estimates. One estimation method uses withdrawal volume from similar/like operations as a proxy for sites where water usage cannot be determined. Of our 130 operating facilities, one (1) location relied exclusively on a private well and one (1) location relied on a combination of groundwater well and municipal supply, representing 19% of total withdrawals. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and where our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from: ✓ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Risk Assessment

(9.2.4) Please explain

We have analyzed 100% of our owned and leased operating facilities with the WWF Water Risk Filter. The Water Risk Filter has a metric called the Surface Water Contamination Index which we use as a guide to determine quality for all facilities that depend on municipal water. This index analyzes a broad suite of pollutants with well-documented direct or indirect negative effects on water resources (e.g. nitrogen/ phosphorous/ pesticide/ organic/ sediment/ mercury loading, soil salinization, potential acidification and thermal alteration). Of the total number of operational and supplier facilities, 95% had a high or very high-risk indicator for Surface Water Contamination index. All water withdrawn from municipal sources is monitored by the municipality to ensure compliance with federal and local quality standards. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharges - total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

✓ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

✓ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Inventory

(9.2.4) Please explain

Total water discharges are estimated at 100% of our 130 owned and leased operating facilities. We calculated a total of 1,154 ML that was discharged during the reporting period. As we do not produce wastewater of an industrial nature requiring direct metering or permitting, we do not currently monitor the total volume of

wastewater discharges. We have estimated that our water withdrawals are consumed or discharged to municipal treatment plants. For most of the facilities, estimated discharge volumes are based on the calculation: Withdrawals - Discharge Consumption. Our operating facilities are currently working to enhance their record keeping of total water discharges in our environmental data management system. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharges - volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

✓ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Inventory

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water discharges by destination are estimated at 100% of our 130 owned and leased operating facilities. For all facilities, it is assumed that discharge is to municipal/industrial treatment plants. We estimate that water withdrawals are consumed (e.g. landscaping, irrigation, cooling tower evaporation or consumed into products) or discharged to municipal treatment plants (water withdrawals water consumption water discharges). Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharges - volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

"Volume by treatment method" refers to primary, secondary or tertiary treatment or pre-treatment/technology types before being returned to the environment. Since: (i) all operating facilities are assumed to discharge to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and (ii) most municipal wastewater treatment facilities use primary, secondary, and sometimes tertiary levels of treatment, we have assumed secondary treatment for 100% of our water discharges. This estimate may be further refined in the future by following up with each municipal/industrial treatment plant to confirm treatment method. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water discharge quality - by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

"Water discharge quality - by standard effluent parameters" is applicable to organizations that discharge effluents or process water. This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality is not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water discharge quality - emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

"Water discharge quality - emissions to water" is applicable to organizations that discharge effluents or process water. This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality is not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water discharge quality - temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

"Water discharge quality - by standard effluent parameters" is applicable to organizations that discharge effluents or process water. This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality was not required. To our knowledge, we do not meet the qualifying requirements for industrial wastewater permitting at any of our facilities which would require monitoring.

Water consumption - total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

✓ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Inventory

(9.2.4) Please explain

We estimate consumption for 100% of our owned and leased operating facilities by calculating: Withdrawals - Discharge Consumption. For sites where metered water data was not available, water consumption was determined at the facility level by multiplying water withdrawals * (consumptive use coefficient) listed by USGS. We estimate that water withdrawals are consumed (landscaping, irrigation or cooling tower evaporation) or discharged to municipal treatment plants (water withdrawals water consumption water discharges). We calculated that a total of 197 ML was consumed during the reporting period. Our global facilities portfolio represents buildings that we own or lease and in which our operations take place (offices, manufacturing, distribution, R&D, and warehousing).

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water Meter

(9.2.4) Please explain

In FY23, one facility recycled or reused water internally. At this facility, all water leaving the buildings is directed to detention ponds and the majority is reclaimed. The facility can reclaim up to 140,000 gallons/day. The reclamation pump moves water into a large pond at the highest part of our facility. From that pond, water seeps into the ground, elevating the level of the surface aquifer and slowing down the drainage of our ponds with fish. The reclaimed water is filtered and treated with ozone to remove bacteria and parasites and to oxidize a good portion of dissolved organic material. Total recycled water represents 14% of total withdrawals in FY23.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

✓ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

✓ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facility janitors or cleaning contractors that are directed/monitored by facility managers.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We provide all workers at our facilities with access to a safe water supply, adequate sanitation, and hygiene. [Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1351

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

✓ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

✓ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Total water withdrawals have been reduced by 38% from 2,188 ML in FY20 to 1,351 ML in FY23 for the 130 facilities. We consider a change of /- 10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. Of 130 facilities, actual data was used for 45 facilities and is estimated for the remaining (i.e. 85 sites). We consider the reduction in withdrawals volume to be associated with efficiency measures and actual data for many sites. We project the total withdrawal to decrease for the coming fiscal year as we divested our HHI business. We have assumed the same growth across facilities where withdrawals have been estimated. It is assumed that estimated facilities saw the same reduction in total water withdrawal as the facilities where water withdrawal is tracked.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1154

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Divestment from water intensive technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Total discharge is much lower compared to the previous reporting year, decreasing from 2,107 ML to 1,154 ML. We consider a change of /- 10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. As discharge data is not currently captured at our facilities, all discharge data has been estimated. We have estimated discharges volume based on the calculation: Withdrawals - Discharge Consumption. We project the total discharges to decrease for the coming fiscal year as we divested our HHI business in FY23. One (1) additional facility incorporates water recycling/reuse initiatives that impacted the estimated discharge volume calculated.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

197

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

✓ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Divestment from water intensive technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Total consumption increased in the reporting year. We consider a change of /- 10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. We attribute the change to be associated with improvements in accounting methodology for the current reporting year. In the previous reporting period, consumption was reported for only one facility where water was the main ingredient in the product, based on the annual production volume for that fiscal year. This reporting period, water consumption data was estimated for each site. Water consumption was estimated for all facilities by multiplying water withdrawals with the consumptive use coefficient listed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). We project total consumption to decrease for the coming fiscal year as we divested our HHI business in FY23.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

531.65

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Divestment from water intensive technology/process

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

39.35

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

Total water withdrawn across all our direct operations from water stressed areas in FY23 was 39% (531.65 ML), which is lower compared to the previous reporting year (785.61 ML). For assessing withdrawal from areas of water stress, we used the WRI Aqueduct tool and considered all sites with "High" or "Extremely High" BWS risks (3 score). We anticipate this number to decrease in FY24 as we have divested our HHI business in FY23. In addition, we will continue implementing water efficiency projects to further reduce our water consumptions, particularly in areas we identify has experiencing baseline water stress (and those we identify as being at risk).

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) **Relevance**

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have fresh surface water withdrawals.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) **Relevance**

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have brackish surface water/seawater withdrawals.

Groundwater - renewable

(9.2.7.1) **Relevance**

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

261.69

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Much lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Renewable groundwater was used at two (2) of our 130 operating facilities. Our renewable groundwater resources have decreased significantly since our last reporting year (FY20), by 57%. We attribute the decrease to business activities and increase in use of municipal water. We consider a change of /- 10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. For one facility, we estimate groundwater withdrawals, while we have actual metered data for the second facility. One of the sites uses a mix of groundwater and municipal water supply. We project that total groundwater withdrawals will remain about the same or increase slightly in future years as the business continues to expand.

Groundwater - non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have non-renewable groundwater withdrawals.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We do not have produced/entrained water withdrawals.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1089.51

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Our water withdrawal from third party sources was 1089.51 ML in the reporting year. This is a decrease of 31% compared to the previous reporting year (FY20). We attribute this change to a decrease in business activities. We consider a change of /- 10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. Of our 130 operational locations, 45 facilities have municipal water withdrawal data captured at the meter level. For the remaining 85 facilities, we have assumed additional water withdrawal based on withdrawal intensity metric per square foot and factors from the 2017 U.S. Energy Information Administration's (EIA) Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS).

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This destination is not relevant to Spectrum Brands as we do not discharge directly to fresh surface water areas. Our water discharges are sent to third-party municipal suppliers.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) **Relevance**

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This destination is not relevant to Spectrum Brands, as we do not discharge directly to brackish surface water/seawater bodies. Our water discharges are sent to third-party municipal suppliers.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This destination is not relevant to Spectrum Brands as we do not discharge directly to groundwater bodies. Our water discharges are sent to third-party municipal suppliers.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

🗹 Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1154

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

At Spectrum Brands we discharge 100% of our wastewater to third-party destinations (local utilities). In the reporting year our discharge to third party sources was 1154 ML which is about 45% less than in the previous reporting year. We attribute this change to a decrease in business activities and change in accounting methodology. We consider a change of /- 10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. All discharge data is estimated, based on actual and estimated water withdrawal data. We calculate water discharge as follows: water withdrawals – consumption. None of our sites have reported water discharge to other organizations. We project total water discharges to decrease for the coming fiscal year as we divested our HHI business in FY23. [Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

Ves, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

✓ 1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

Of the 130 owned and leased operational facilities that we included in our water risk assessment, seven (7) facilities were identified to have a substantive waterrelated risks. These facilities represent 20% of our water withdrawals. To identify facilities exposed to water risks which are substantive for Spectrum Brands, we used a combination of water-related and business-criticality indicators. This included all facilities which showed "high" or "extremely high" overall basin risk score (based on Aqueduct and WRF tools), "high" or "extremely high" current or future water stress, have material water withdrawal volumes (water withdrawals average across all our facilities) and sites that have a high business relevance based on revenue (EBITDA/SF average). Applying these thresholds to all 130 facilities, seven (7) were identified to have a substantive impact on our business as they are located in regions of water-risk combined with a high-business relevance. It is notable although that the facilities were identified through this process, while posing significant water risks, they do not meet the threshold of having a substantive effects on our organization as outlined in section 2.4, as they do not account for 15% or more of our EBITDA. We do, nonetheless, consider these risks important and use the results of the risk assessment to prioritize facilities in terms of water risk mitigation.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

This is not an immediate strategic priority, as our focus is currently on our direct operations and how we can address water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities that are in our control. [Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

✓ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Redlands, United States

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

✓ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

✓ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

✓ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- California Minor basin - Santa Ana

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.075499

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.235699

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.7

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11.7

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.18

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

 \blacksquare This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.18

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.52

Select from:

✓ Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

All water data is based on estimates, and all water withdrawals are sourced from a local municipality. This site is new and was not included in the previous reporting year. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

✓ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Nogales, Mexico

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Dependencies

🗹 Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

✓ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- North America, Colorado Minor basin - Upper Santa Cruz

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.256343

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-110.95866

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

✓ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

123.12

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

123.12

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

103.42

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

103.42

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

19.7

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal is based on actual facility data, while consumption and discharge data are estimates. All water withdrawals are sourced from the local municipality. Water consumption compared with the previous reporting year is much higher, mainly due to a more accurate accounting methodology. We consider a change of /-10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

✓ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Mexicali, Mexico

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

✓ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

🗹 Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

 \blacksquare Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- California Minor basin - Salton Sea

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.64772

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-115.412525

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

105.84

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

105.84

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

88.91

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Much lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

88.91

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

16.94

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal is based on actual facility data, while consumption and discharge data are estimates. All water withdrawals are sourced from the local municipality. Water consumption compared with the previous reporting year is much lower, mainly due to a more accurate accounting methodology. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

✓ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Xiamen, China

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

✓ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

🗹 Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

✓ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- China Coast Minor basin - Jinlong Jiang

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

24.46879

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

118.02819

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

✓ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.57

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water
(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11.57

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

9.72

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ Much lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

9.72

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.85

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

✓ Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water withdrawal is based on actual facility data, while consumption and discharge data are estimates. All water withdrawals are sourced from the local municipality. Water consumption compared with the previous reporting year is much lower, mainly due to a more accurate accounting methodology. We consider a change of /-10% to be about the same, and /- 20% to be 'much higher/lower'. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

✓ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Yokkaichi, Japan

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

✓ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

✓ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

✓ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Japan

☑ Other, please specify :Water Risk Filter: River Basin - Central Kuroshio Current

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.000949

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

136.671391

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.09

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

 \blacksquare This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

1.09

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.91

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.91

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.17

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

All water data is based on estimates, and all water withdrawals are sourced from a local municipality. This site is new and was not included in the previous reporting year. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

✓ Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Redlands, United States

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

✓ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

✓ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

✓ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- California Minor basin - Santa Ana

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.075499

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.235699

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.72

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11.72

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.2

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.2

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.52

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

All water data is based on estimates, and all water withdrawals are sourced from a local municipality. This site is new and was not included in the previous reporting year. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool.

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Reno, United States

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

✓ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

✓ Dependencies

✓ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

✓ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify :Aqueduct: Major basin- California Minor basin - Honey / Eagle Lakes

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.648911

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-119.900051

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

✓ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.48

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

5.64

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

5.64

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.84

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

✓ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

All water data is based on estimates, and all water withdrawals are sourced from a local municipality. This site is new and was not included in the previous reporting year. The site is located in an area of water stress. For identifying locations with water stress, we considered locations that either have a "high" or "extremely high" baseline water stress based on the Aqueduct tool or "high" or "extremely high" water depletion based on the Water Risk Filter tool. [Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals - total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from: Not verified

_

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water withdrawals are metered and measured by the providing municipality.

Water withdrawals - volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water withdrawals are received by third-party providers (municipalities) which meter and measure by the providing municipality. For the two sites that use groundwater resources, one meters withdrawals on site. Neither of these two sites have received third-party verification of water withdrawals.

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water withdrawals are received by third-party providers (municipalities). All water withdrawn from municipal sources is monitored by the municipality to ensure compliance with federal and local quality standards.

Water discharges - total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because most water discharges go to third-party providers (municipalities) which meter and monitor water discharges to ensure compliance with federal and local regulations.

Water discharges - volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because all water discharges are assumed to go to local municipalities.

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

✓ Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because we do not pre-treat our water discharges as all water discharges are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality is usually not required. To our knowledge, none of our facilities require industrial wastewater permitting.

Water discharges - quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect is not applicable to our water discharges as they are assumed to be sent to municipal/industrial treatment plants, and pre-treatment prior to discharge to the municipality was not required. To our knowledge, none of our facilities require industrial wastewater permitting.

Water consumption - total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

✓ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This water aspect has not been verified by a third-party to a recognized, relevant standard because we only estimate our water consumption at this point.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

✓ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

3961000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

2931902.29

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

We anticipate water efficiency per dollar of revenue will increase in the upcoming year since we divested our HHI business in FY23, which was a water-intensive operation. The impact of this divestiture will be realized in our FY24 water withdrawal data, and we anticipate water efficiency to increase. [Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Products contain hazardous substances
Select from:

Products contain hazardous substances
✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

Annex XVII of EU REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

✓ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to assess the use of these substances (where able) in our products. Some products may contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply with applicable regulations and laws. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percent of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimate for associated revenue.

Row 2

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

✓ Annex XIV of UK REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

✓ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to assess the use of these substances (where able) in our products. Some products may contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply with applicable regulations and laws. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percent of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimate for associated revenue.

Row 3

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

✓ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern (UK Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to assess the use of these substances (where able) in our products. Some products may contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have

processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply with applicable regulations and laws. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percent of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimate for associated revenue.

Row 4

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

✓ Federal Water Pollution Control Act / Clean Water Act (United States Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Spectrum Brands strives to minimize the use of substances that are considered hazardous and is working to assess the use of these substances (where able) in our products. Some products may contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authorities, however, the amount of hazardous substances complies with the related regulations and laws. Spectrum Brands closely monitors the substances found in our products through our quality and regulatory teams. These teams have processes set in place to ensure our products containing hazardous substances comply with applicable regulations and laws. Please note that the list of regulatory classification of hazardous substances are only examples and are not exhaustive. The percent of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list is our best estimate for associated revenue. [Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

🗹 Yes

Standards provided by the Water Conservation Certification of the California Energy Commission (CEC) and Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) WaterSense program are utilized.

(9.14.4) Please explain

At Spectrum Brands we are committed to creating sustainable products that reduce our environmental impacts, through design, sourcing, manufacturing, packaging, distribution and lifecycle of the product. This includes designing products that consume less water, reducing plastics and packaging as well as removing hazardous substances from our products, which can have negative impacts on water resources and ecosystems. According to our most recent calculations, 98% of Spectrum Brands' plumbing category portfolio conform to the stringent guidelines set forth by the CEC and EPA's WaterSense program. For example, our WaterSense certified faucets and showerheads are engineered to reduce water consumption by 30%. [Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

🗹 Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	Select from: ✓ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.
Water withdrawals	Select from:	This is not an immediate priority for Spectrum Brands but we anticipate creating a target in the next two years.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
	✓ No, but we plan to within the next two years	
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	Select from: ✓ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.
Other	Select from: ☑ Yes	Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

✓ Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Direct operations for which water data is available

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water use efficiency

☑ Increase in water withdrawal efficiency (i.e. revenue generation per water withdrawal volume)

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

10/01/2022

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

09/30/2022

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

1289411

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

09/30/2023

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

1250729

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

1188774

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

260

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Direct operations with primary water data

(9.15.2.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

We've invested in water-related projects that have contributed to our goal of increasing water efficiency.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

Spectrum Brands has gone through a divestiture since this goal was first developed. Due to this, our target goal has been adjusted over time. [Add row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
Select from: ✓ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

✓ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

✓ All data points in module 7

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

The verification scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the emissions data reported for Scope 1, 2, and 3, Categories 1-7, for FY2023. The process is conducted annually.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

Spectrum Brands FY23 GHG Verification Statement v1.00 (2024-0925).pdf [Add row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

General Counsel

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from: ✓ No