

# C0. Introduction

### C0.1

#### (C0.1) Give a general description and introduction to your organization.

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. (SBH, Spectrum Brands, or the 'Company'), a member of the Russell 1000 Index, is a global and diversified consumer products company and a leading supplier of locksets, builders' hardware, plumbing and accessories, shaving and grooming products, personal care products, small household appliances, specialty pet supplies, household cleaning products, lawn, garden and home pest control products, and personal insect repellents. Helping to meet the needs of consumers worldwide, our Company offers a broad portfolio of market-leading, well-known and widely trusted brands. Based in Middleton, Wisconsin, SBH generated net sales from continuing operations of approximately \$4.748 billion, of which \$1.653 billion is from our HHI business that is anticipated to be divested from SBH in 2023. Please note that the following responses address our 2022 fiscal year: which commenced Oct 1, 2021 and ended on Sept 30, 2022.

# C0.2

(C0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data and indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

### Reporting year

Start date October 1 2021

# End date

September 30 2022

Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years No

Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for <Not Applicable>

Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for <Not Applicable>

Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for <Not Applicable>

### (C0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Argentina Australia Austria Belgium Bulgaria Canada Chile China Colombia Costa Rica Czechia Denmark Dominican Republic El Salvador Finland France Germany Guatemala Honduras Hungary Ireland Italy Japan Mexico Netherlands New Zealand Panama Peru Philippines Poland Portugal Romania Russian Federation Singapore Slovenia Spain Sweden Turkey United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland United States of America

# C0.4

(C0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. USD

# C0.5

(C0.5) Select the option that describes the reporting boundary for which climate-related impacts on your business are being reported. Note that this option should align with your chosen approach for consolidating your GHG inventory. Operational control

# C-AC0.6/C-FB0.6/C-PF0.6

(C-AC0.6/C-FB0.6/C-PF0.6) Are emissions from agricultural/forestry, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or emissions from the consumption of your products – whether in your direct operations or in other parts of your value chain – relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

	Relevance	
Agriculture/Forestry	No	
Processing/Manufacturing	Direct operations only [Processing/manufacturing/Distribution only]	
Distribution	No	
Consumption	No	

# C-AC0.6a/C-FB0.6a/C-PF0.6a

#### (C-AC0.6a/C-FB0.6a/C-PF0.6a) Why are agricultural/forestry activities not relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

#### Row 1

#### Primary reason

Other, please specify

#### Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not engage in agricultural activities within its direct operations. Revenue from pet food, which is sold by the Global Pet division, constitutes approximately 21.3% of the Company's total revenue. While agricultural activities are relevant to the upstream S3 emissions of the Company's Global Pet division, Spectrum Brands utilizes animal products that would otherwise be disposed of as waste. The logistics required to collect data balancing the positive and negative emissions associated with these activities are prohibitive at this time.

# C-AC0.6e/C-FB0.6e/C-PF0.6e

(C-AC0.6e/C-FB0.6e/C-PF0.6e) Why are distribution activities not relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

#### Row 1

Primary reason

Other, please specify

#### Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not engage in the distribution of agricultural or processed food products within its direct operations. Food and agriculture-related distribution is relevant to the upstream and downstream S3 emissions of the Company's Global Pet division. The logistics required and cost associated with collecting data on these activities are prohibitive at this time. Revenue from pet food constitutes approximately 21.3% of Global Pet Care Revenue.

# C-AC0.6g/C-FB0.6g/C-PF0.6g

(C-AC0.6g/C-FB0.6g/C-PF0.6g) Why are emissions from the consumption of your products not relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

#### Row 1

Primary reason

# Other, please specify

### Please explain

The logistics required and cost associated with collecting data on the use phase impacts of our pet food products are prohibitive at this time. Revenue from pet food constitutes approximately 21.3% of Global Pet Care Revenue.

# C-AC0.7/C-FB0.7/C-PF0.7

(C-AC0.7/C-FB0.7/C-PF0.7) Which agricultural commodity(ies) that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue? Select up to five.

#### Agricultural commodity

Cattle products

% of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

# Less than 10%

Produced or sourced Sourced

#### Please explain

Revenue from our Global Pet division made up approximately 24% of total revenue for the reporting year. We estimate that approximately 21.3% of total revenue came from the sale of pet food with only a portion of this coming from cattle products, which amounts to less than 5% of total Company revenue.

#### Agricultural commodity

Fish and seafood from aquaculture

#### % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Less than 10%

#### Produced or sourced Sourced

#### Please explain

Revenue from our Global Pet division made up approximately 24% of total revenue for the reporting year. We estimate that 21.3% of total revenue came from the sale of pet food with only a portion of this coming from fish and seafood products, which amounts to less than 5% of total Company revenue.

# C0.8

# (C0.8) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization	Provide your unique identifier
Yes, a CUSIP number	84790A105
Yes, a Ticker symbol	SPB

# C1. Governance

# C1.1

(C1.1) Is there board-level oversight of climate-related issues within your organization? Yes

# C1.1a

(C1.1a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Position of individual	Responsibilities for climate-related issues
or committee	
Other C-	i) Provide a description of the position(s)/committee(s) in the corporate structure and the level of responsibility they have towards climate-related issues: Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, who is also a member of our named Executive Officers (composed of the five most Senior Executives of our Company), leads our environmental, social and governance (ESG) efforts, including climate change and human rights. As a member of our Executive Officer Group, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary provides oversight while acting as the liaison between management and the Board of Directors ("Board") on ESG issues.
	ii) Explain how the responsibilities of the position(s)/committee(s) are related to climate issues: The Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary oversees management activities and processes associated with ESG issues, including but not limited to decisions related to energy and water conservation efforts, carbon reporting, GHG and climate issues, sustainability goals and strategy development, and all relevant resource expenditures to support these initiatives.
	iii) Example of a climate-related decision: Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary is responsible for reviewing and deciding upon a new greenhouse gas related goal with the impending expiration of our current 2022 GHG emissions goal set in 2017. At this time, the new goals are in draft form and are expected to be established during fiscal year 2023 (commencing October 1, 2022). Spectrum Brands plans to re-baseline our energy and GHG emissions, likely back to 2018 and will include only continuing operations.

# C1.1b

# (C1.1b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of climate-related issues.

related issues	mechanisms into which	board- level oversight	Please explain
Scheduled – some meetings	Reviewing and guiding strategy Monitoring progress towards corporate targets Reviewing and guiding the risk management process	<not Applicabl 0&gt;</not 	Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets, measuring and managing the Company's energy use, and reporting out on our greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary may present to the Board the status of the Company's progress on Environmental, Health & Safety (EH&S) metrics and sustainability performance in our factories and/or products, such as packaging innovations and improvements, transportation efficiencies, factory energy and carbon emission reductions, waste and water recycling efforts and reductions.

# C1.1d

#### (C1.1d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on climate-related issues?

	Board member(s) have competence on climate- related issues		level competence on	Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on climate-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future
Row 1	Yes	Access to information is key to competence on climate related issues. When discussing climate related issues with the Board, the Company provides relevant information to ensure that the Board members are able to competently make knowing and informed decisions and recommendations on these issues. To the extent appropriate, we will also engage third-party consultants, who are experts on climate related issues, to discuss and educate the board members on these issues.	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

# C1.2

(C1.2) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for climate-related issues.

### Position or committee

Other, please specify (Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary )

### Climate-related responsibilities of this position

Monitoring progress against climate-related corporate targets Assessing climate-related risks and opportunities Managing climate-related risks and opportunities

### Coverage of responsibilities

<Not Applicable>

# **Reporting line**

CEO reporting line

Frequency of reporting to the board on climate-related issues via this reporting line Quarterly

#### Please explain

i. Processes by which the position/committee is informed of and monitors climate-related issues:

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary has responsibility for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. As a member of the Senior Executive team our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary acts as the liaison between the management at Spectrum Brands and the Board on ESG issues, including climate and environmental issues. In addition, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy (the Sustainability Team). The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board.

ii. Rationale of why responsibilities for climate-related issues have been assigned to this/these position(s) or committee(s):

Primary responsibility for climate-related issues is assigned to the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary because this position oversees dedicated sustainability processes and activities. SBH regularly engages with its shareholders to discuss sustainability issues, also requiring the oversite of the mentioned positions. Also, the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary is the Board Secretary and is charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review.

# C1.3

(C1.3) Do you provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues	Comment
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	

# C2. Risks and opportunities

# C2.1

(C2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities? Yes

#### C2.1a

(C2.1a) How does your organization define short-, medium- and long-term time horizons?

	From (years)	To (years)	Comment
Short-term	0	3	
Medium-term	3	5	
Long-term	5	10	

# C2.1b

### (C2.1b) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands global Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event or a combination of factors impacting the achievement of corporate strategy.

# C2.2

(C2.2) Describe your process(es) for identifying, assessing and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities.

Value chain stage(s) covered Direct operations Upstream Downstream

### **Risk management process**

Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment

More than once a year

Time horizon(s) covered

Short-term Medium-term Long-term

#### **Description of process**

At the company level, Spectrum Brands has a risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks to the Company that could have a strategic impact. Risks that have the potential to be material are disclosed on Spectrum Brands' public reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and include statements associated with climate change risk. In addition, for climate change related risks, the Sustainability Team identifies risks associated with energy and water resource scarcity and extreme weather occurrences that have potential to disrupt operational and/or supply chain performance and impact product sales. The materiality risk assessment process also includes prioritization on addressing the risks that offer the greatest business harm or liability, with consideration for short, mid and long-term time horizons. For the purposes of Spectrum Brands' global ERM process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event or a combination of factors impacting the achievement of corporate strategy.

Risk in the supply chain is addressed by a sourcing risk management framework and includes assessing a supplier's ability to perform in terms of quality, delivery, time horizon and sustainability issues. This framework includes identifying the potential size and scope of the risks on the supply chain. SBH applies the sourcing risk management framework to the product design functions at the company, which will include product specific sustainability topics, such as resource scarcity, and continuity of available resources necessary for production of our finished goods. Emissions intensity of products is also considered as part of the framework. The global sourcing risk management approach is implemented at the division level and used to assess suppliers' performance and risk, which may vary during supplier initiation, maintenance, renewal and interim changes through and to contract end. Elements of performance and risk included in the approach may include: financial, quality, EHS, sustainability, and the social license to operate and distribute the product.

Direct Operations (Physical Risk Example): Our facilities are subject to various potential hazards associated with the manufacturing, handling, storage, and transportation of chemical materials and products, which may include, but not be limited to, leaks and ruptures, explosions, floods, fires, inclement weather and natural disasters, power loss or other infrastructure failures, mechanical failure, unscheduled downtime, inability to obtain materials, equipment or transportation, environmental hazards such as remediation, chemical spills, discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases, and other risks. Many of these hazards could cause severe damage to, or destruction of, property and equipment and environmental contamination. In addition, the occurrence of material operation problems at our facilities due to any of these hazards could cause a disruption in the production of products.

Divisional leadership is responsible for risk management within operations at the division level. This involves identification and prioritization of risks to each facility. Some SBH facilities are located in areas prone to extreme weather events such as flooding or ice storms. In recent years, we have experienced operational impacts due to ice storms in Texas operation and high precipitation events in our Philippines operation. Our Divisional Operations teams consider these acute physical risks as they affect the division's daily operations and strategy to mitigate and manage extreme weather events. We anticipate that climate change may increase the frequency and severity of such extreme weather events.

Downstream (Transitional Risk) Example: Risks, such as emerging regulations and reputational concerns, can have an impact on our stakeholders – in particular, our customers. Our product teams evaluate downstream impacts and strive to develop more innovative products, eliminate superfluous chemicals, and improve cradle-to-grave management of products and packaging. Our approach focuses on both ensuring regulatory compliance and conformity with emerging customer and consumer requirements.

As an example, due to a changing consumer perception around the environmental effects of single use plastics, our Remington brand has made efforts to move away from the use of these components in our packaging. To do this, we needed to find alternative materials, such as paper-based components like molded pulp, to replace plastic based components. A prime example of this is our shave and groom items where we moved away from using plastic windows and blisters. By removing the plastic components from two gift boxes, we will remove up to 37,100 pounds of Polymer (PET) based materials annually, which, according to Walmart's Project Gigaton equivalency calculator, the plastic removal is equivalent to 90 tons of CO2 (eq) emission reductions.

### (C2.2a) Which risk types are considered in your organization's climate-related risk assessments?

	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain	
Current regulation	included	At SBH, our formal mechanism for assessing regulations is through the Product Regulatory Team. This team is sponsored by a representative from SBH's Legal and Risk Mitigation department and is global in scale, with regulatory professionals represented from each Division. The team is charged with identifying new/emerging requirements, predominantly associated with governmental, customer policy or internal initiatives that could affect plant or product, including current environmental regulations. An example of current regulation would be California's AB 1200, regulating intentionally added PFAS in select products and packaging.	
0	always included	At SBH, our formal mechanism for assessing regulations is through the Product Regulatory Team. The team is sponsored by a representative from SBH Legal and Risk Mitigation department and is global in scale. The Product Regulatory Team is charged with identifying new/emerging requirements, predominantly associated with governmental, customer policy or internal initiatives that could affect plant or product, including new and emerging environmental regulations. For example, the SEC has proposed new regulations requiring greater Greenhouse Gas emissions reporting. As changes to regulation unfold in 2023 and beyond, Spectrum Brands will adhere to in order to maintain compliance.	
Technology	sometimes	Our Product Regulatory Team is charged with identifying new/emerging requirements, predominantly associated with governmental, customer policy or internal initiatives that could affect plant or product. When appropriate, the Product Regulatory Team will engage divisional operations or new product development departments when technology is an affected element of the assessment. Example technology risks would be the desire to shift towards lower carbon-intensity feedstock materials in our products that will have different performance criteria thus requiring substantial testing and consideration prior to selection.	
Legal		Regulatory and legal risks are consistently evaluated by the Product Regulatory Team and may be informed by climate-related issues. For example: legal risks may include revisions to existing emission-related requirements (such as revision to European Union Emissions Trading Scheme for greenhouse gases, or California Restrictions on Volatile Organic Substances in products).	
Market	Relevant, sometimes included	Through the sourcing risk management framework described above in 2.2, SBH has added to the supplier procurement process an evaluation of EHS and social risk focused on mitigating risks to the business and market risk from supplier nonconformance. For example, customer and retail partner carbon performance expectations continue to increase.	
	always	For SBH, reputation is often tied to the use of our products. Our product teams are constantly innovating products to provide the quality, value, and performance our customers demand, while protecting health, safety, and wellness, and minimizing our environmental impacts. An example of climate-related reputation risk would be a news event that negatively affects one of our product categories, potentially allowing key stakeholders such as customers or employees to draw an incorrect conclusion regarding our products.	
Acute physical		Operational risks at the plant level are consistently evaluated and may be informed by climate-related issues. For example, some facilities are located in areas prone to extreme weather events such as flooding or ice storms. Our Divisional Operations team is an example of one risk owner that would consider these acute physical risks as they affect the division's daily operations and strategy to mitigate and manage extreme weather events.	
Chronic physical	Relevant, sometimes included	Operational risks are consistently evaluated and may be informed by climate-related issues. For example, facilities may be located in areas prone to rising average temperatures. Our facility managers are an example of a risk owner that would consider these chronic physical risks as they affect the division's daily operations and strategy to manage for these rising temperatures.	

# C2.3

(C2.3) Have you identified any inherent climate-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? Yes

# C2.3a

(C2.3a) Provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

# Identifier

Risk 1

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur? Direct operations

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Emerging regulation Other, please specify (SEC Climate-related Disclosure)

# Primary potential financial impact

Increased indirect (operating) costs

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification <Not Applicable>

# Company-specific description

Proposed legislation by the SEC would require additional climate-related disclosures that may require detailed reporting of climate-related risks, greenhouse gas emissions, and integration with financial statements. In the event that the SEC passes this legislation, compliance may result in additional resources being required in order to collect, assure, and report this information. Dedication of additional internal and external resources may be required, causing Spectrum Brands to incur these costs, and others may be passed on to us from our third-party suppliers.

Time horizon Short-term

Likelihood Very likely

Magnitude of impact Medium

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure? No, we do not have this figure

Potential financial impact figure (currency) <Not Applicable> Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency) <Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency) <Not Applicable>

### Explanation of financial impact figure

We are not able to provide a potential financial impact figure at this point in time.

Cost of response to risk

### Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

We are not able to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time.

## Comment

Identifier

Risk 2

# Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?

Downstream

#### Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Reputation Shifts in consumer preferences

#### Primary potential financial impact

Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

#### Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification

<Not Applicable>

#### Company-specific description

As more consumers choose to support brands with strong environmental credentials, we expect to further enhance our commitment to the environment and sustainability. The increased focus on environmental and sustainability issues may result in new or increased regulations and customer/consumer demands that could cause us to make changes to the products we offer, or operations we manage, to prevent consumers from purchasing products from another company or competitor. If we succeed in enhancing our commitment to the environment and sustainability, this, could incur positive reputational impacts.

Time horizon Long-term

Likelihood Likely

# Magnitude of impact

#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

No, we do not have this figure

# Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

# Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

#### Explanation of financial impact figure

The financial implications may be negative or positive depending on our success in enhancing our commitment to the environment and sustainability. It is difficult to quantify reputational risks in our industry sectors; while it may be present, we have not completed detailed economic models to quantify.

#### Cost of response to risk

#### Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

The Sustainability Team and legal/regulatory associates meet regularly to provide updates on incoming climate change-related requests and ongoing projects. Furthermore, by reporting to CDP and the Walmart Sustainability Index, SBH is showing its commitment to emissions reductions and climate change initiatives. Additionally, SBH has sustainability goals related to: emissions reductions, product sustainability, water savings, and the health and safety of our workforce. The costs associated with managing this risk are part of existing operating controls and considered within the context of enterprise risk management review. These costs include annual salaries of employees that manage operations, our global EHS and Sustainability Teams, our global legal team, and costs for consultants that the company utilizes to validate and calculate our emissions and various public disclosure reporting.

#### Comment

### C2.4

(C2.4) Have you identified any climate-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? Yes

C2.4a

#### (C2.4a) Provide details of opportunities identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

### Identifier

Opp1

#### Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?

Direct operations

### **Opportunity type**

Resource efficiency

### Primary climate-related opportunity driver

Use of more efficient production and distribution processes

## Primary potential financial impact

Reduced indirect (operating) costs

### Company-specific description

Spectrum Brands has identified an opportunity to realize reduced operating costs via the implementation of resource efficiency (energy, water) projects in owned facilities. Such projects have the added benefit of reducing our greenhouse gas emissions and improving our operational resiliency. We expect to save over \$670,000 in operating costs annually from resource efficiency projects implemented in the previous 2 reporting years, with \$370,000 coming from the most recent fiscal year (FY2022).

Time horizon

Medium-term

Likelihood More likely than not

# Magnitude of impact

Medium

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

# Potential financial impact figure (currency) <Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency) 150000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency) 350000

#### Explanation of financial impact figure

The potential financial impact figures represent potential annual reductions in operating costs realized via resource efficiency projects implemented each year. The cost range represents the lower and upper bounds of annual cost savings Spectrum Brands has achieved via resource efficiency projects implemented within a reporting year over the past two reporting years.

#### Cost to realize opportunity

513000

#### Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

At the divisional level, the Operation's Managers meet biennially with the Corporate ESG Analyst, and subsequently review the opportunities with Senior Leadership, including the Sustainability Team, to provide updates on incoming opportunities and improvements for energy and water efficiency and address requests to climate change related inquiries and ongoing projects. The cost to realize the opportunity represents the capital investment required in resource efficiency projects for a given year. The cost is calculated as the average capital investment Spectrum Brands has committed to implement resource efficiency projects within a reporting year over the past three reporting years.

#### Comment

### C3. Business Strategy

# C3.1

(C3.1) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world?

#### Row 1

### Climate transition plan

No, our strategy has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities, but we do not plan to develop a climate transition plan within two years

Publicly available climate transition plan <Not Applicable>

<inot Applicable

Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan <Not Applicable>

Description of feedback mechanism <Not Applicable>

Frequency of feedback collection

<Not Applicable>

Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional) <Not Applicable>

#### Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world and any plans to develop one in the future

Spectrum brands has not committed to a target aligned with a 1.5°C world, as we are still in the process of understanding the climate change risk and impact on our property and businesses. Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets and greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, Spectrum Brands has a risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks to the Company that could have a strategic impact, including climate-related risk. As we move forward with our climate related risks and opportunity analysis, we will continue to evaluate how Spectrum Brands will adapt to meet industry expectations regarding climate initiatives and carbon emissions.

### Explain why climate-related risks and opportunities have not influenced your strategy

<Not Applicable>

# C3.2

#### (C3.2) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy?

scenario analysis to inform		Explain why your organization does not use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy and any plans to use it in the future
No, but we anticipate using qualitative and/or quantitative analysis in the next two years	Important but not an immediate priority	Spectrum Brands does not currently utilize forward-looking scenario analyses associated with climate change to inform our strategy and financial planning; however, we expect to conduct such analyses within the next two years. For example, we are working with our advisors to better understand the climate change risk and impact on our property and businesses.

# (C3.3) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your strategy.

	Have climate- related risks and opportunities	Description of influence
	influenced your strategy in this area?	
Products and services	Yes	<ul> <li>i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services has influenced our approach to designing our products and making considerations within our sourcing, procurement, and product development teams. These teams are encouraged to move beyond standard measures of providing products to improve the product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. For example, we now use Lifecycle analysis tools (LCA) to evaluate materials and packaging substitutions to influence some of our new products in development, that offer us alternatives to standard assumptions with environmental benefits throughout our value chain.</li> <li>ii) Strategy time horizon(s):</li> <li>At the division level products are typically reviewed quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design, materials of construction, and packaging from a</li> </ul>
		sustainability perspective. Our new product development process will soon have "Sustainability" included in the evaluation process. iii) Most Substantial Strategic Decisions to Date: We have adopted 100% EPS/PVC elimination for the UK and EU. In addition, we have begun utilizing PCR (post-consumer resins) in select bottles and packaging depending on regulatory requirements, structural and quality expectations. In addition to packaging improvements, we have also reviewed our shipping methods to develop more sustainable processes. For example, in our Home & Garden business unit, we moved to generic shipping SKUs instead of unique printing. This project resulted in a 66% reduction in shipping SKUs, which saved over 500,000 square feet of corrugate per year. Within our Global Pet Care (GPC) business, 35% of the Nature's Miracle brand portfolio are refillable options. Within our Home and Garden (H&G) business, 10% of the Spectracide brand portfolio is offered in concentrated and/or refillable bottles.
Supply chain and/or value chain	Yes	<ul> <li>i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities:</li> <li>We have begun proactively collecting GHG emission data from our Tier 1 supply chain (our Scope 3 emissions), particularly with those suppliers we are currently engaging in the Walmart THESIS efforts.</li> <li>ii. Strategy time horizon(s):</li> <li>At the corporate level, emissions and results from supplier surveys are collected and reviewed annually.</li> </ul>
		iii. Most substantial strategic decisions to date: For the past 6 years we have invested in surveying our supply chain on various sustainability topics (GHG emissions, sustainable packaging, etc.) to respond to the Sustainability Insight System (THESIS) platform. In 2022, we surveyed 351** unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers. As a result of collecting and reporting on both internal and supply chain practices, Spectrum Brands nearly maintained our company-wide average for reporting to THESIS after seeing constant improvements in scores for 5 consecutive years. Spectrum Brands outperformed competitors in the same industry by 37% and achieved full points on 23% of KPIs (Key Performance Indicators). Spectrum Brands performed particularly well on manufacturing and supply chain greenhouse gas emissions, manufacturing and supply chain worker health & safety, priority chemicals disclosure, transportation to retailers, product design, and conflict minerals KPIs. To continue the positive upward trend regarding our THESIS score, Spectrum Brands will be taking a closer look at supplier engagement methods with the intention of streamlining and communicating expectations with the supply chain at a higher level.
Investment in R&D	Yes	<ul> <li>i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities:</li> <li>Shifts in consumer preferences have affected SBH investment in R&amp;D in how we design, source, procure, and develop new products; We are encouraged to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective.</li> </ul>
		ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed at least quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective.
		iii. Most substantial strategic decisions to date: Our Pfister brand has been a market leader in creating products that help customers use less water. One recent innovation, ThermoForce Technology, has been incorporated in select showerheads, using 40% less water than a standard 2.5 gallon/minute showerhead. Additionally, Pfister's EPA WaterSense certified faucets and showerheads are engineered to reduce water consumption by 30%. This helps households save up to \$200 annually on their water bill.
Operations	Yes	i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: With dozens of corporate and manufacturing sites across the globe, we have top management support, skilled professional Environmental, Health & Safety (EHS) staff, and trained employees so that our operations run safely and comply with local, state, and federal regulations. Supported by our Company's EHS Management System, which incorporates elements of the ISO 1400, our teams work continuously to identify opportunities to use less energy and natural resources, conserve water, and generate less waste.
		ii) Strategy time horizon(s): Operations are evaluated continuously throughout the fiscal year and aligned with company goals to reduce our carbon footprint (Scope 1 and 2 market-based emissions) by 3% per year on a per basis.
		iii) Our most substantial strategic decisions to date: Our most substantial strategic decisions to date include, among other items: We initiated 17 projects in 2022 saving over 2.5 million kilowatt hours of energy. Additionally, we continue to see the benefits of our substantial water reduction projects implemented in 2021, reducing annual water consumption by nearly 17 million gallons. Some examples of projects implemented in 2022 include improved factory generation and consumption of compressed air and motor improvements, boiler optimization and implementation of energy control systems, converting to LED lighting, and projects focused on resource efficiency, waste reduction, and water conservation at our manufacturing facilities around the globe. The Corporate ESG Analyst meets biennially with operations and EHS managers to review opportunities with Senior Leadership to provide updates on incoming opportunities and improvements for energy and water efficiency.

# C3.4

# (C3.4) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your financial planning.

	Financial planning elements that have been influenced	Description of influence
Row 1	Indirect costs Capital expenditures	The identified risks and opportunities reported above have affected operating costs based on our ongoing investment toward more sustainable products and efficient facilities to reduce our environmental impacts and emissions.
		To address one of our risks and leverage one of our greatest opportunities to reduce natural resource use and lower our environmental footprint, we have invested capital allocated to operations to make water and energy improvements (approximately \$300,000 dollars in the past fiscal year) to reduce water and energy used in our operations.
		One specific example is converting our lighting from conventional to LED. We estimate this project to save over 191,000 kWh annually at just one of our manufacturing facilities. There was a total of eight (8) other efficiency projects implemented in 2022, saving over one million kWh per year. These projects include reprogramming our lighting and equipment, removing and replacing outdated manufacturing equipment, and switching time controls on our air compressors and HVAC systems.
		Time Horizon: Climate risks and opportunities are relevant to our direct cost and capital expenditures planning over the short, medium, and long term.

# C3.5

(C3.5) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate	Indicate the level at which you identify the alignment of your spending/revenue with a sustainable finance
	transition	taxonomy
Row	No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	<not applicable=""></not>
1		

### C4. Targets and performance

### C4.1

(C4.1) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? Intensity target

# C4.1b

(C4.1b) Provide details of your emissions intensity target(s) and progress made against those target(s).

Target reference number Int 1

### Is this a science-based target?

No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

Target ambition
<Not Applicable>

Year target was set 2017

Target coverage Company-wide

Scope(s) Scope 1 Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Intensity metric Metric tons CO2e per unit revenue

Base year

2017

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 15: Investments (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) 0.0000299

% of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure 100

% of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure 100

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services covered by this Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services intensity figure </br>
<Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods covered by this Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) covered by this Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) intensity figure </br>
<Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by this Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution intensity figure </br>
<Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations covered by this Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations intensity figure

<Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel covered by this Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by this Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets covered by this Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products intensity figure

<Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products intensity figure </br>
<Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets covered by this Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets intensity figure

### <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises covered by this Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 15: Investments covered by this Scope 3, Category 15: Investments intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Other (upstream) covered by this Scope 3, Other (upstream) intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Other (downstream) covered by this Scope 3, Other (downstream) intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this total Scope 3 intensity figure <Not Applicable>

% of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure 100

Target year 2022

Targeted reduction from base year (%) 16.45

Intensity figure in target year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) [auto-calculated] 0.00002498145

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions -0.5

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

<Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 10: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 15: Investments (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) <Not Applicable>

Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) 0.0000357

Does this target cover any land-related emissions? Please select

% of target achieved relative to base year [auto-calculated] -117.920931981987

### Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Years noted reflect Spectrum Brands Holdings' fiscal years. Our goal is to reduce our carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2 market based emissions) 3% year over year through FY 2022 on a per revenue basis from a FY 2017 baseline.

# Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year <Not Applicable>

List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

<Not Applicable>

# C4.2

(C4.2) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year? No other climate-related targets

# C4.3

(C4.3) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Yes

# C4.3a

(C4.3a) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	8	313
To be implemented*	5	152
Implementation commenced*	10	154
Implemented*	6	199
Not to be implemented	0	0

# C4.3b

(C4.3b) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

### Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings		Other, please specify (Conversion of building lighting to LED)
Estimated annual CO2 20.51	e savings (metric tonnes CO	2e)
Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur Scope 2 (market-based)		
Voluntary/Mandatory Voluntary		
Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 5995		
Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 0		
Payback period <1 year		
Estimated lifetime of the initiative 3-5 years		
Comment		
Initiative category & Initiative type		
Other, please specify	Other, please specify (Rainwater c	collection which can be utilized on RO system as feedwater, or reduce water consumption)

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e) 67.73	
Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur Scope 2 (market-based)	
Voluntary/Mandatory Voluntary	
Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 5215	
Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 0	
Payback period <1 year	
Estimated lifetime of the initiative 3-5 years	
Comment	
Initiative category & Initiative type	
Non-energy industrial process emissions reductions	Process equipment replacement
Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e) 33.27	
Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur Scope 2 (market-based)	
Voluntary/Mandatory Voluntary	
Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 9074	
Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 0	
Payback period <1 year	
Estimated lifetime of the initiative 3-5 years	
Comment We are removing zinc-casting equipement.	
Initiative category & Initiative type	
Non-energy industrial process emissions reductions	Process equipment replacement
Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e) 25.62	
Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur Scope 2 (market-based)	
Voluntary/Mandatory Voluntary	
Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 8500	
Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 0	
Payback period <1 year	
Estimated lifetime of the initiative 3-5 years	
Comment Removing zinc die-casting equipment (LPG savings)	
Initiative category & Initiative type	
Other, please specify Other, please specify (Controlled water discharges and in-house treatment of die casting oil	and water waste)

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur Scope 2 (market-based)		
/oluntary/Mandatory /oluntary		
Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as spec 32029	cified in C0.4)	
Investment required (unit currency – as specified 0	in C0.4)	
Payback period <1 year		
Estimated lifetime of the initiative 3-5 years		
Comment		
Initiative category & Initiative type		
Other, please specify	Other, please specify (Plant cistern tank repairs)	
Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO 52.17	D2e)	
Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emission Scope 2 (market-based)	ns savings occur	
Voluntary/Mandatory Voluntary		
Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 17900		
Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4) 0		
Payback period <1 year		
Estimated lifetime of the initiative 3-5 years		
Comment		

# C4.3c

0.01

(C4.3c) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Method	Comment
Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards	SBH constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.
Financial optimization calculations	SBH constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.
	SBH constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

# C4.5

(C4.5) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? No

# C5. Emissions methodology

C5.1

# C5.1a

(C5.1a) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

#### Row 1

Has there been a structural change? No

Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with <Not Applicable>

Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

# C5.1b

(C5.1b) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?	Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)
Row 1	No	<not applicable=""></not>

### C5.2

### (C5.2) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

#### Scope 1

#### Base year start

October 1 2016

Base year end September 30 2017

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) 24134

#### Comment

In 2020, SBH made several divestments and acquisitions. We removed emissions associated with the divestments and included emissions associated with the acquisitions to our FY17 - FY20 inventories to align with our company's current organizational structure for the entire time period we are reporting in this report. We also included several smaller sales office locations that were previously excluded from our inventories in 2020 and in previous years.

#### Scope 2 (location-based)

Base year start October 1 2016

Base year end September 30 2017

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

# 77273 Comment

In 2020, SBH made several divestments and acquisitions. We removed emissions associated with the divestments and included emissions associated with the acquisitions to our FY17 - FY20 inventories to align with our company's current organizational structure. We also included several smaller sales office locations that were previously excluded from our inventories in 2020 and in previous years.

#### Scope 2 (market-based)

Base year start October 1 2016

Base year end

September 30 2017

#### Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

76585

### Comment

In 2020, SBH made several divestments and acquisitions. We removed emissions associated with the divestments and included emissions associated with the acquisitions to our FY17 - FY20 inventories to align with our company's current organizational structure. We also included several smaller sales office locations that were previously excluded from our inventories in 2020 and in previous years.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2) Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 6: Business travel Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 14: Franchises Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 15: Investments Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3: Other (upstream) Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3: Other (downstream) Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment

# C5.3

(C5.3) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019 The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol Agricultural Guidance: Interpreting the Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Agricultural Sector The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

# C6. Emissions data

# C6.1

#### (C6.1) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

### Reporting year

Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e) 28613

Start date

<Not Applicable>

End date

<Not Applicable>

Comment

# C6.2

(C6.2) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

Row 1

Scope 2, location-based We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

Scope 2, market-based

We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

Comment

# C6.3

(C6.3) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

#### Reporting year

Scope 2, location-based 80563.98

Scope 2, market-based (if applicable) 83121.77

Start date <Not Applicable>

End date <Not Applicable>

Comment

# C6.4

(C6.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

# C6.5

(C6.5) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

Evaluation status Relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) 1173830

# Emissions calculation methodology

Spend-based method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

# Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

### Capital goods

# Evaluation status

Relevant, calculated

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

# 17475

Emissions calculation methodology

Spend-based method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

#### 0

Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

# Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Evaluation status

Relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) 32394

### Emissions calculation methodology

Fuel-based method

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

90

### Please explain

Approximately 90% of fuel and electricity consumption data is provided by utility partners and suppliers. The remaining 10%, related to smaller leased spaces, is estimated based industry averages.

# Upstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status

Relevant, calculated

### Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

548118

Emissions calculation methodology Spend-based method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

### Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

### Waste generated in operations

#### **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3692

0

# Emissions calculation methodology

Average data method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

### Please explain

Waste stream data is collected at the Company's facilities and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions data is provided by waste management providers.

# **Business travel**

#### Evaluation status

Relevant, calculated

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2688

Please explain

# Emissions calculation methodology

Distance-based method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

# 0

Mileage data is collected from sales employees and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption data is provided by vehicle owners.

#### Employee commuting

### **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, calculated

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

# 19274

Emissions calculation methodology

Average data method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

#### 0

### Please explain

Mileage data is calculated based on location data, work from home energy use is estimated. and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption or emissions data is provided by employees.

#### Upstream leased assets

**Evaluation status** 

Not relevant, explanation provided

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

### Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

#### Please explain

SBH does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

### Downstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status Relevant, not yet calculated

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

### Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

#### Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

### Processing of sold products

Evaluation status Not relevant, explanation provided

### Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

### Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

#### Please explain

SBH products are finished goods and do not undergo further processing after point of sale.

# Use of sold products

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

### Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

### Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

#### End of life treatment of sold products

Evaluation status Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) </br><Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

SBH will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

#### Downstream leased assets

**Evaluation status** 

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) <Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

#### Please explain

SBH does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

#### Franchises

Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) <Not Applicable>

# Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

### Please explain

SBH does not operate franchises

# Investments

Evaluation status Not relevant, explanation provided

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) <Not Applicable>

...

Emissions calculation methodology <Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

#### Please explain

SBH does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

# Other (upstream)

Evaluation status Not relevant, explanation provided

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners <Not Applicable>

# Please explain

Not applicable for SBH.

#### Other (downstream)

#### **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, explanation provided

# Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e) </br><Not Applicable>

### Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>
Please explain

Not applicable for SBH.

# C6.7

(C6.7) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization? No

### C-AC6.8/C-FB6.8/C-PF6.8

(C-AC6.8/C-FB6.8/C-PF6.8) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure? No

### C-AC6.9/C-FB6.9/C-PF6.9

(C-AC6.9/C-FB6.9/C-PF6.9) Do you collect or calculate greenhouse gas emissions for each commodity reported as significant to your business in C-AC0.7/FB0.7/PF0.7?

# C6.10

(C6.10) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Intensity figure 0.000036

Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e) 111735

Metric denominator Other, please specify (emissions per \$ revenue )

Metric denominator: Unit total 3132500000

Scope 2 figure used Market-based

% change from previous year 7.38

Direction of change Increased

#### Reason(s) for change Change in revenue

Other, please specify (changes in emissions)

# Please explain

A 12.19% increase in Scope 1 and 2 emissions along with a 4.48% decrease in annual revenue resulted in an 7.38% increase in emission intensity.

### C7. Emissions breakdowns

# C7.1

(C7.1) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type? Yes

# C7.1a

(C7.1a) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used greenhouse warming potential (GWP).

Greenhouse gas	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	GWP Reference
CO2	28578.46	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)
CH4	20.42	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)
N2O	14.45	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

# C7.2

### (C7.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by country/area/region.

Country/area/region	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
North America	10915.5
Latin America (LATAM)	9485
Europe	2157.29
Asia Pacific (or JAPA)	6055.55

# C7.3

(C7.3) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By business division By activity

# C7.3a

# (C7.3a) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)	
C&A	1293.16	
GPC	3672.27	
H&G	1770.61	
HHI	20267.53	
HPC	1597.33	
SOS	2.3	
GBA	10.13	

# C7.3c

(C7.3c) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Gaseous fuel activities	4242.2
Liquid fuel activities	4.348
Natural gas	24366.78

# C-AC7.4/C-FB7.4/C-PF7.4

(C-AC7.4/C-FB7.4/C-PF7.4) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?

### Yes

# C-AC7.4b/C-FB7.4b/C-PF7.4b

(C-AC7.4b/C-FB7.4b/C-PF7.4b) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.

# C7.5

(C7.5) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by country/area/region.

Country/area/region	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
North America	41379.16	42662.3
Latin America (LATAM)	14482.45	14482.45
Europe	3206.25	4480.9
Asia Pacific (or JAPA)	21496.12	21496.12

# C7.6

(C7.6) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. By business division

# C7.6a

### (C7.6a) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
C&A	4735.65	5053.88
GPC	12807.46	13606.29
H&G	10750.59	10821.66
HHI	45248.36	46355.81
HPC	5713.02	5987.29
SOS	1063.26	1063.26
GAC	107.81	197.43
GBA	35.83	36.15
PET	102	0

# C7.7

(C7.7) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? No

# C7.9

(C7.9) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? Increased

# C7.9a

# (C7.9a) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

	Change in emissions		Emissions	Please explain calculation
	(metric tons CO2e)	change in emissions	value (percentage)	
			(percentage)	
Change in renewable		<not applicable=""></not>		
energy consumption				
Other emissions		<not applicable=""></not>		
reduction activities				
Divestment		<not applicable=""></not>		
Acquisitions		<not applicable=""></not>		
Mergers		<not applicable=""></not>		
Change in output	12142.04	Increased	12	Scope 1 emissions decreased 7% and scope 2 emissions increased 18% (21% for Scope 2/Market-based) over the previous year. This is largely due to increase in electricity consumption as business gets back to normal operations.
Change in		<not applicable=""></not>		
methodology				
Change in boundary		<not applicable=""></not>		
Change in physical		<not applicable=""></not>		
operating conditions				
Unidentified		<not applicable=""></not>		
Other		<not applicable=""></not>		

# C7.9b

# (C7.9b) Are your emissions performance calculations in C7.9 and C7.9a based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Location-based

# C8. Energy

# C8.1

(C8.1) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? More than 5% but less than or equal to 10%

# C8.2

#### (C8.2) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	No

### C8.2a

(C8.2a) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

	Heating value	MWh from renewable sources	MWh from non-renewable sources	Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)	HHV (higher heating value)	341.83	224191.23	224533.07
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<not applicable=""></not>	341.83	70405.8	70405.8
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Total energy consumption	<not applicable=""></not>	341.83	294597.03	294938.86

#### (C8.2b) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	No

# C8.2c

(C8.2c) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

#### Heating value

Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

- MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>
- MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>
- MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>
- MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>
- MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

Comment

### Other biomass

Heating value Unable to confirm heating value

# Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization 0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

- MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>
- MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>
- MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

#### Comment

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

Heating value Unable to confirm heating value

# Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

#### Comment

#### Coal

### Heating value

Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

Comment

#### Oil

Heating value

HHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization 18.08

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

### Comment

Gas

Heating value HHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization 134209.44

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

# Comment

#### Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

Heating value HHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization 19813.21

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

Comment

Total fuel

Heating value

HHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization 154121.73

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration <Not Applicable>

Comment

# C8.2e

(C8.2e) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in C6.3.

#### Country/area of low-carbon energy consumption Germany

### Sourcing method

Default delivered electricity from the grid (e.g. standard product offering by an energy supplier) from a grid that is 95% or more low-carbon and where there is no mechanism for specifically allocating low-carbon electricity

Energy carrier

Electricity

### Low-carbon technology type

Renewable energy mix, please specify

Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

# 341.83

Tracking instrument used

Other, please specify (Utility provider contract)

Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute Germany

Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

# No

Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering) <Not Applicable>

#### Comment

Spectrum Brand receives 100% renewable energy for their Melle, Germany facility, provided by DREWAG, the local utility.

(C8.2g) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your non-fuel energy consumption in the reporting year.

Country/area Other, please specify (North America)

Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh) 1238.78

Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh) 0

Is this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable>

Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 0

Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] 1238.78

Country/area Other, please specify (Latin America)

Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh) 36597.37

Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh) 0

Is this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable>

Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] 36597.37

#### Country/area

Other, please specify (Europe)

Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh) 0.01

Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh) 0

Is this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable>

Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] 0.01

Country/area Other, please specify (Asia Pacific)

Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh) 32575.18

Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

0

Is this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable>

Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)  $\ensuremath{0}$ 

Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 0

Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] 32575.18

# C9.1

(C9.1) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Description Waste

Metric value 8051910

Metric numerator

kg

Metric denominator (intensity metric only) year

% change from previous year

Direction of change <Not Applicable>

Please explain

**Description** Other, please specify (water)

Metric value 1289535

Metric numerator Gallons of water

Metric denominator (intensity metric only) year

% change from previous year

**Direction of change** <Not Applicable>

Please explain

# C10. Verification

# C10.1

(C10.1) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Third-party verification or assurance process in place

# C10.1a

(C10.1a) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Verification or assurance cycle in place Annual process

Status in the current reporting year Complete

Type of verification or assurance Limited assurance

Attach the statement Spectrum Brands GHG Verification Statement v1.0 (2023-0725).pdf

### Page/ section reference

Page 2

### **Relevant standard**

Other, please specify (Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II))

Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

# C10.1b

(C10.1b) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Scope 2 approach Scope 2 market-based

Verification or assurance cycle in place Annual process

Status in the current reporting year Complete

Type of verification or assurance Limited assurance

Attach the statement Spectrum Brands GHG Verification Statement v1.0 (2023-0725).pdf

Page/ section reference Page 2

Relevant standard Other, please specify (Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II))

Proportion of reported emissions verified (%) 100

# C10.1c

(C10.1c) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Scope 3 category Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

Verification or assurance cycle in place Annual process

Status in the current reporting year Complete

Type of verification or assurance Limited assurance

Attach the statement Spectrum Brands GHG Verification Statement v1.0 (2023-0725).pdf

Page/section reference Page 2

Relevant standard

Other, please specify (Environmental Resources Trust Corporate GHG Verification Guideline (Tier II))

Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

(C10.2) Do you verify any climate-related information reported in your CDP disclosure other than the emissions figures reported in C6.1, C6.3, and C6.5? No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years

# C11. Carbon pricing

# C11.1

(C11.1) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)? No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

# C11.2

(C11.2) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year? No

# C11.3

(C11.3) Does your organization use an internal price on carbon? No, and we do not currently anticipate doing so in the next two years

# C12. Engagement

# C12.1

(C12.1) Do you engage with your value chain on climate-related issues?

Yes, our suppliers

Yes, our customers/clients

# C12.1a

#### (C12.1a) Provide details of your climate-related supplier engagement strategy.

#### Type of engagement

Information collection (understanding supplier behavior)

### **Details of engagement**

Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers

% of suppliers by number

15

% total procurement spend (direct and indirect)

10

% of supplier-related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

0

#### Rationale for the coverage of your engagement

The coverage of our engagement prioritizes suppliers from whom we require data to respond to and achieve improved performance on THESIS. In 2022, we Surveyed 351 unique/active Tier 1 - Tier 3 suppliers to generate our responses to the THESIS surveys that include product category Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). The percent of suppliers by number represents approximately our tier 1 engagement coverage, with plans to improve upon that percent in coming years. Percent of total procurement spend has been estimated for the reporting year.

### Impact of engagement, including measures of success

SBH engagement with suppliers is undertaken to evaluate the risks and opportunities presented by our upstream relationships. Engagement success is measured by high response rates to supplier surveys, enabling us to improve performance with regard to CDP and THESIS and thereby leading to increased transparency within the supply chain. As a result of collecting and reporting on both internal and supply chain practices, Spectrum Brands was nearly able to maintain its company-wide average for reporting to THESIS. In general, Spectrum performed particularly well on manufacturing greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply mapping, formulation safety – product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency – use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals KPIs. The HPC Kitchen Appliances performance assessment scored the highest at 72% out of 100%.

The intent of surveying suppliers is to go beyond collecting data to increasing awareness, which we facilitate by providing educational materials describing the importance of evaluating, improving and reporting on sustainability-related impacts. More specifically, Spectrum Brands has an inventory of actions the business has taken with suppliers based on results received from THESIS reporting. For example, THESIS collects data on the percentage of renewable energy that each supplier has deployed or is purchasing for their operation. SBH has used this information to identify key suppliers who have recently installed solar panels and collected pictorial proof as documentation of the suppliers' renewable energy pursuits. We hope to expand this direction collaboration with our supply base to strongly encourage and reward the deployment of renewable energy by our suppliers.

Comment

### C12.1b

#### (C12.1b) Give details of your climate-related engagement strategy with your customers.

#### Type of engagement & Details of engagement

Education/information sharing Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes (i.e. Energy STAR)

#### % of customers by number

9.2

### % of customer - related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

0

### Please explain the rationale for selecting this group of customers and scope of engagement

SBH reports to CDP, as well as the Walmart Sustainability Index (WMSI)/THESIS. Our strategy for prioritizing engagement is based significantly on our customers' priorities, which also prompt us to measure greenhouse gas emissions and other climate change impacts beyond our standard organizational reporting. The customers engaged represent 9.2% of our 2022 revenue. On an annual basis, we also report to our retailer customers examples of Sustainability Projects our company is committed to and our performance. For example, we share with one of our customers (Walmart) an annual summary of the past year's sustainability efforts in our supply chain, such as one factory who has converted their lighting to LEDs, reducing their overall electricity consumption and improving lighting efficiency.

#### Impact of engagement, including measures of success

Success is measured by consistently improving sustainability performance across our product categories in the Walmart Sustainability Index/THESIS. Spectrum Brands was nearly able to maintain its company-wide average score for reporting to THESIS. We continued the trend of outperforming our competitors on our company-wide average score for the 8th straight year. In general, Spectrum performed particularly well on manufacturing greenhouse gas emissions, transportation to retailers, ingredient supply chain mapping, formulation safety – product design and tracking, product takeback programs, energy efficiency – use phase, supply chain worker health & safety, and conflict minerals KPIs.

# C12.2

#### (C12.2) Do your suppliers have to meet climate-related requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process? No, but we plan to introduce climate-related requirements within the next two years

## C12.3

(C12.3) Does your organization engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate?

#### Row 1

External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate No, we have assessed our activities, and none could either directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate

Does your organization have a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement? No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

#### Attach commitment or position statement(s)

<Not Applicable>

Describe the process(es) your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

N/A

Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate Important but not an immediate priority

Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate Spectrum Brands does not engage in these activities due to our focus being on manufacturing the best products that fit our customer's needs. We continuously monitor policies, laws, and regulations related to climate change and evaluate them for their impact on our products, activities, and business operations.

# C12.4

(C12.4) Have you published information about your organization's response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

#### Publication

In mainstream reports

### Status Complete

Attach the document 2023-CCR-Update.pdf

# Page/Section reference p.12, 21, 32, 52

# Content elements

Governance Strategy Risks & opportunities Emissions figures Emission targets Other metrics

#### Comment

#### Publication

In other regulatory filings

## Status

Underway - previous year attached

Attach the document 2021 - Annual Report.pdf

# Page/Section reference

p. 16

#### Content elements

Governance Strategy Risks & opportunities

### Comment

# C12.5

(C12.5) Indicate the collaborative frameworks, initiatives and/or commitments related to environmental issues for which you are a signatory/member.

	Environmental collaborative framework, initiative and/or commitment	Describe your organization's role within each framework, initiative and/or
		commitment
Ro	We are not a signatory/member of any collaborative framework, initiative and/or commitment related to environmenta	I <not applicable=""></not>
1	issues	

# C15.1

(C15.1) Is there board-level oversight and/or executive management-level responsibility for biodiversity-related issues within your organization?

		Description of oversight and objectives relating to biodiversity	Scope of board-level oversight
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to have both within the next two years	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

### C15.2

(C15.2) Has your organization made a public commitment and/or endorsed any initiatives related to biodiversity?

	Indicate whether your organization made a public commitment or endorsed any initiatives related to biodiversity	Biodiversity-related public commitments	Initiatives endorsed
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to do so within the next 2 years	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

# C15.3

(C15.3) Does your organization assess the impacts and dependencies of its value chain on biodiversity?

#### Impacts on biodiversity

Indicate whether your organization undertakes this type of assessment

No and we don't plan to within the next two years Value chain stage(s) covered

<Not Applicable>

### Portfolio activity <Not Applicable>

Tools and methods to assess impacts and/or dependencies on biodiversity

<Not Applicable>

Please explain how the tools and methods are implemented and provide an indication of the associated outcome(s) <Not Applicable>

#### Dependencies on biodiversity

Indicate whether your organization undertakes this type of assessment No and we don't plan to within the next two years

Value chain stage(s) covered <Not Applicable>

# Portfolio activity <Not Applicable>

Tools and methods to assess impacts and/or dependencies on biodiversity <Not Applicable>

Please explain how the tools and methods are implemented and provide an indication of the associated outcome(s) <Not Applicable>

# C15.4

(C15.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to biodiversity- sensitive areas in the reporting year? Not assessed

# C15.5

(C15.5) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Have you taken any actions in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments
Row 1	No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments	<not applicable=""></not>

### (C15.6) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

Bow 1 No Please select		Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?	Indicators used to monitor biodiversity performance
	Row 1	No	Please select

# C15.7

(C15.7) Have you published information about your organization's response to biodiversity-related issues for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

Report type	Content elements	Attach the document and indicate where in the document the relevant biodiversity information is located
No publications	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

# C16. Signoff

# C-FI

(C-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

# C16.1

(C16.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP climate change response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Labor & Employment Counsel	Other, please specify (Labor & Employment Counsel)

# SC. Supply chain module

# SC0.0

(SC0.0) If you would like to do so, please provide a separate introduction to this module.

No additional information

# SC0.1

(SC0.1) What is your company's annual revenue for the stated reporting period?

	Annual Revenue
Row 1	4748000000

# SC1.1

(SC1.1) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Requesting member Walmart, Inc. Scope of emissions Scope 1 Scope 2 accounting method

<Not Applicable>

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level

#### Company wide

# Allocation level detail

<Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 4063.09

Uncertainty (±%)

5

#### Major sources of emissions

Spectrum Brand Scope 1 emissions (direct combustion in the manufacturing of products), Walmart Scope 3/Category 1/Purchased Goods and Services.

Verified

Yes

# Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

677000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of Spectrum Brands goods purchased by Walmart was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member

Walmart, Inc.

Scope of emissions Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 11803.3

Uncertainty (±%)

5

Major sources of emissions

Verified Yes

Allocation method Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member 677000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of Spectrum Brands goods purchased by Walmart was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member Target Corporation

Scope of emissions Scope 1

Scope 2 accounting method <Not Applicable>

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 515

# Uncertainty (±%)

5

### Major sources of emissions

Spectrum Brand Scope 1 emissions (direct combustion in the manufacturing of products), Target Scope 3/Category 1/Purchased Goods and Services.

Verified Yes

### Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member 86000000

### Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Currency

# Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Market-value of goods purchased by Target was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member Target Corporation

Scope of emissions Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail </br>
Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 1496.19

Uncertainty (±%)

### Major sources of emissions

Verified Yes

Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member 86000000

#### Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of goods purchased by Target was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member Lowe's Companies, Inc.

Scope of emissions Scope 1

Scope 2 accounting method <Not Applicable>

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 2575

Uncertainty (±%)

#### Major sources of emissions

Spectrum Brand Scope 1 emissions (direct combustion in the manufacturing of products), Lowes Scope 3/Category 1/Purchased Goods and Services.

Verified Yes

#### Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

#### Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

429000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Market-value of goods purchased by Lowes was based on annual revenue from the customer.

# Requesting member

Lowe's Companies, Inc.

Scope of emissions Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

#### Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 7480.96

Uncertainty (±%)

5

### Major sources of emissions

Verified Yes

Allocation method Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member 429000000

### Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Market-value of goods purchased by Lowes was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member J Sainsbury Plc

Scope of emissions Scope 1

Scope 2 accounting method <Not Applicable>

Scope 3 category(ies) </br><Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 172

Uncertainty (±%)

### Major sources of emissions

Spectrum Brand Scope 1 emissions (direct combustion in the manufacturing of products), J. Sainsbury Plc Scope 3/Category 1/Purchased Goods and Services.

Verified Yes

# Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

29000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency Requesting member

J Sainsbury Plc

Scope of emissions Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 498.731

Uncertainty (±%) 5

### Major sources of emissions

Verified Yes

Allocation method Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

29000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of goods purchased by J. Sainsbury Plc has based on annual revenue from the customer.

# **Requesting member**

Ahold Delhaize

Scope of emissions Scope 1

Scope 2 accounting method <Not Applicable>

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

29

Uncertainty (±%)

### Major sources of emissions

Spectrum Brand Scope 1 emissions (direct combustion in the manufacturing of products), Ahold Deldaize Scope 3/Category 1/Purchased Goods and Services.

Verified

Yes

### Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member 6000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of goods purchased by Ahold Deldaize was based on annual revenue from the customer.

#### Requesting member Ahold Delhaize

### Scope 2

# Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 83.1218

Uncertainty (±%)

Major sources of emissions

Verified Yes

Allocation method Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member 6000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of goods purchased by Ahold Deldaize was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member Ferguson plc

Scope of emissions Scope 1

Scope 2 accounting method <Not Applicable>

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide

Allocation level detail <Not Applicable>

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 372

Uncertainty (±%)

5

Major sources of emissions

Spectrum Brand Scope 1 emissions (direct combustion in the manufacturing of products), Ferguson Scope 3/Category 1/Purchased Goods and Services.

Verified Yes

Allocation method

Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

61000000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied Currency

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made Market-value of goods purchased by Ferguson was based on annual revenue from the customer.

Requesting member Ferguson plc

Scope of emissions Scope 2

Scope 2 accounting method Market-based

Scope 3 category(ies) <Not Applicable>

Allocation level Company wide	
Allocation level detail <not applicable=""></not>	
Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e 1080.58	
Uncertainty (±%) 5	
Major sources of emissions	
Verified Yes	
Allocation method Allocation based on the market value of products purchased	
Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the 61000000	he requesting member
Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supp Currency	lied
Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, Market-value of goods purchased by Ferguson was based or	including major limitations to this process and assumptions made n annual revenue from the customer.
SC1.2	
(SC1.2) Where published information has been used in com	
SC1.3	
(SC1.3) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to e	different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?
Allocation challenges Please explain what	would help you overcome these challenges
Please select	
SC1.4	
(SC1.4) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate Yes	emissions to your customers in the future?
SC1.4a	
(SC1.4a) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities	ış.
SC2.1	
(SC2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial climate-rela	ated projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.
SC2.2	

(SC2.2) Have requests or initiatives by CDP Supply Chain members prompted your organization to take organizational-level emissions reduction initiatives? No

# SC4.1

(SC4.1) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services? No, I am not providing data

In which language are you submitting your response? English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders	Response permission
Please select your submission options	Yes	Public

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms